

Rachel Reid

From: council@westlanddc.govt.nz on behalf of Westland District Council
<consult@westlanddc.govt.nz>
Sent: Friday, 6 May 2016 2:26 p.m.
To: consult
Subject: Form submission from: Submission on the draft Annual Plan 2016/17

Submitted on Friday, May 6, 2016 - 14:26 Submitted by user: Anonymous Submitted values are:

Name: Neville Manson
 Organisation (if applicable):
 Postal address:
 24 Brittan Street
 Hokitika
 7810

Email: nevillemanson@xtra.co.nz

Phone Number: 0276674634

Submission: I support the proposal

Type submission here:

This submission relates to the proposed Hokitika storm water improvement program. A separate submission has been made in relation to the proposed program for Kaniere.

The existing storm water infrastructure is lacking in several localities and this has been addressed by the proposed program. I note that several solutions rely on upgraded or new pumping stations and acknowledge their necessity. Pumping stations however are only effective if adequately maintained and fully operational.

I submit that all pumping stations, new or upgraded, should have specified in the scope of works a requirement for continuous on line monitoring of operating parameters and efficiency. I do not mean an alarm light connected to an auxiliary contact on a motor starter. I mean parameters critical to operating efficiency including but not limited to pump motor power or current and pump discharge pressure, should be continuously monitored and input into a basic plc. Data can then identify problems such as blocked intakes or discharges, pump cavitation or impeller failure. Alarms can be raised and sent by text to selected personnel or a command center, with no requirement for human intervention.

I worked for many decades in an industry where environmental controls were critical to our operations and all pumping and ventilation systems were continuously monitored and reported in this way. The overall additional cost to implement was minimal when compared to the peace of mind of knowing that the systems could and did operate to their design requirement when required.

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?: No If yes, do you want to make a joint case with another party?: No Do you require a language interpreter in order to present at the hearing?: No Would you prefer to present via an audio or audio-visual link?: No



West Coast Wildlife Centre

31 Cron St • P O Box 20

Franz Josef Glacier, New Zealand

Tel: +64 3 752 0610 • Fax: +64 3 752 0606

info@wildkiwi.co.nz • www.wildkiwi.co.nz

6 May 2016

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

WASTE WATER SUBMISSION – FRANZ JOSEF

We oppose the proposed funding model for the Franz Josef water treatment plan; I support a district wide rate to fund the works.

The proposal to fund the works only from the Franz Josef community is likely to lead to higher costs for tourists and risk further reducing the likelihood of tourists visiting the district and town. Franz Josef is the tourism capital of the district and the entire district benefits from Franz Josef remaining attractive and affordable to tourists who pass through then entire district whilst staying in Franz Josef.

I live and work in Franz Josef, this proposal will drive up the rents and put off developers of staff accommodation which is already in short supply. The significant waste water costs are likely to negatively impact businesses and also lead to less investment in the town and fewer employment opportunities.

To ensure continuing growth and opportunity in Franz Josef we want to encourage businesses to grow and staff to stay but we need to make it possible for them to invest in the area without large community overheads if this proposal is accepted.

Yours faithfully

Lisa Stevenson
Operations Manager
WEST COAST WILDLIFE CENTRE
FRANZ JOSEF

Rachel Reid

From: council@westlanddc.govt.nz on behalf of Westland District Council
<consult@westlanddc.govt.nz>
Sent: Friday, 6 May 2016 2:44 p.m.
To: consult
Subject: Form submission from: Submission on the draft Annual Plan 2016/17

Submitted on Friday, May 6, 2016 - 14:44 Submitted by user: Anonymous Submitted values are:

Name: Neville Manson
Organisation (if applicable):

Postal address:

24 Brittan Street

Hokitika

7810

Email: nevillemanson@xtra.co.nz

Phone Number: 0276674634

Submission: I support the proposal

Type submission here:

This submission relates to the proposed new Franz Josef wastewater treatment plant.

I support the council adopting Option 1 of the proposal.

The precedent for a targeted rate has been set when Kaniere was connected to the Hokitika wastewater system.

It is fair that the main contributors to the capital cost of the proposed upgrade should be the main benefactors of the annual tourist influx that drives the sizing of the system.

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?: No If yes, do you want to make a joint case with another party?: No Do you require a language interpreter in order to present at the hearing?: No Would you prefer to present via an audio or audio-visual link?: No

From: council@westlanddc.govt.nz on behalf of Westland District Council
<consult@westlanddc.govt.nz>
Sent: Friday, 6 May 2016 2:51 p.m.
To: consult
Subject: Form submission from: Submission on the draft Annual Plan 2016/17

Submitted on Friday, May 6, 2016 - 14:51 Submitted by user: Anonymous Submitted values are:

Name: Craig Rankin
 Organisation (if applicable): Glenfern Villas Postal address:
 PO Box 102
 Franz Josef
 Email: craig@glenfern.co.nz
 Phone Number: 021 165 2933
 Submission: I oppose the proposal
 Type submission here:

- Council did not provide enough information to us to support the proposed rating structure of the new Waste Water Plant for Franz Josef.
- Council have been collecting a capital contribution from Franz Josef for waste water - currently around \$70,000pa - therefore we are being expected to pay twice.
- With limited funds in councils reserves, council should have gone for a higher government subsidy - 75% - particularly in the light of increasing tourism numbers and the need to grow accommodation and services for these extra visitors.
- Not just those connected to the WW scheme benefit from it - a district rating scheme needs to be assessed as an option for funding (a set fee is proposed for unconnected properties in the area)
- Working from the figures provided in the Consultation Document: Franz township With the subsidy (preferred council option) will double current rates
Franz township Without the subsidy will triple the current rates

eg 24 unit motel - currently pays \$6,000pa
wastewater rate: with the subsidy will pay \$12,000pa wastewater rate (on average)

without subsidy will pay \$18,000pa wastewater rate (on average)

PLUS all other existing rates for the 9 year period of the new plant - this is not sustainable for existing businesses and will potentially discourage growth and investment

- Should this plant be funded over a longer period? The lifetime of the plant will be around 20 - 30 years potentially.
- For council to attend a consultation meeting with the township with no information about the proposed plant, a very poor financial presentation (to which we are expected to pay 50% of), along with no indication of whether ongoing maintenance costs will also be added to the rates is totally unacceptable. NO business would take a business proposal to their bank with such inadequate information and expect to receive a green light.
- We ask council to provide all essential information regarding the proposed new Waste Water Plan to this community so that other financial and management scenarios can be assessed and considered.

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?: Yes If yes, do you want to make a joint case with another party?: No Do you require a language interpreter in order to present at the hearing?: No Would you prefer to present via an audio or audio-visual link?: No

Rachel Reid

From: Jacky Vos <jackyrosalindavos@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, 6 May 2016 3:42 p.m.
To: consult
Subject: Waste water

I oppose the proposed funding model for the Franz Josef water treatment plan, I support a district wide rate to fund the works.

The proposal to fund the works only from the Franz Josef community is likely to lead to higher costs for tourists and risk further reducing the likelihood of tourists visiting the district and town. Franz Josef is the tourism capital of the district and the entire district benefits from Franz Josef remaining attractive and affordable to Tourists who pass through the entire district when staying in Franz Josef.

This proposal will drive up rents and put off developers of staff accommodation which is already in short supply, the costs are likely to negatively impact businesses and also lead to less investment in the town and fewer employment opportunities.

Regards,

Jacky Rosalinda Vos

Rachel Reid

From: Rob Daniel <rob.daniel@slingshot.co.nz>
Sent: Friday, 6 May 2016 4:46 p.m.
To: consult
Cc: Derek Blight
Subject: Submission to 2016/17 draft Annual Plan

HOKITIKA RESERVES AND ENVIRONS COMMUNITY GROUP

We would like to make the following submission on the draft 2016/17 Westland District Council Annual Plan

1. We support the funding of \$100,000 towards the Waterfront Development project from Council's reserves funds.
2. We submit that the draft plan should include a 10% increase in funding of reserves maintenance (compared with 2015/16) to allow improvement in quality and frequency of reserves maintenance in Hokitika and surrounding areas.
3. We submit that \$3,500 should be included in the draft plan for renewal of aging signage on the Hokitika Heritage Trail.

We wish to be heard.

Rob Daniel
Chairperson
6 May 2016

Rachel Reid

From: Claire Robertson <Claire.Robertson@cdhb.health.nz>
Sent: Friday, 6 May 2016 4:46 p.m.
To: consult
Subject: Submission on the Draft Annual Plan
Attachments: WestlandDistrictCouncilAnnualPlan2016CPHSubmissionFinal.pdf

Kia ora,

Please find attached CPH submission for the WDC annual plan 2016/17.

Please confirm you have received this email.


Cheers,

Claire

Claire Robertson
 Team Leader
 Community & Public Health
 West Coast
 027 4359672
 03 768 1170

Check out our web site: <http://www.cdhb.health.nz>

This email and attachments have been scanned for content and viruses and is believed to be clean This email or attachments may contain confidential or legally privileged information intended for the sole use of the addressee(s). Any use, redistribution, disclosure, or reproduction of this message, except as intended, is prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender and remove all copies of the message, including any attachments. Any views or opinions expressed in this email (unless otherwise stated) may not represent those of Canterbury District Health Board



**Westland District Council
Draft Annual Plan 2016/2017**

**Submission on behalf of
Community and Public Health, West Coast**

May 2016

Details of Submitter

Dr Cheryl Brunton
Medical Officer of Health

Postal Address

Community and Public Health
PO Box 443, Greymouth 7840
3 Tarapuhi Street
Greymouth

Phone: 03 768 1160

Fax: 03 768 1169

Contact Person for this Submission:

Claire Robertson
West Coast Team Leader
Community and Public Health

DDI: 03 768 1170

Email: claire.robertson@cdhb.health.nz

Introduction

Community and Public Health West Coast is a regional office of the Community and Public Health Division of the Canterbury District Health Board and provides a regional public health service to the West Coast. We welcome the opportunity to comment on the Grey District Council's Draft Annual Plan 2016-2017.

The goal of our organisation is that of improving and protecting the health and well-being of the people of the West Coast. However, while health care services are an important determinant of health, health is also influenced by a wide range of factors beyond the health sector.

The Dahlgren and Whitehead 1991 model below illustrates how individuals are influenced by factors that generally lie outside their control. These factors, often referred to as the social determinants of health and well-being, can be described as the conditions in which people are born, grow, live, work and age; they are affected by environmental, social and behavioural factors.

As depicted in the diagram, the sphere of influence is very wide; furthermore changes in any of these areas can affect health and wellbeing dramatically (both positively and negatively). In order to maximise people's wellbeing, these factors need to be taken into account by policy and decision makers, including councils.



The following is our submission on the Westland District Council's Draft Annual Plan 2016-17. We wish to be heard in support of our submission.

| Specific matters referred to in the draft Plan | Discussion/Comments | CPH's recommended amendments to the Plan/suggested action points |
|---|---|---|
| Consultation Document | <p>We congratulate Council on the layout and conciseness of their Consultation Document. The format makes the document easy to understand and therefore comment on significant changes from activities described in the LTP.</p> <p>We encourage Council to share this format with the other West Coast Councils.</p> | <i>CPH encourages Council to share the format of its Annual Plan Consultation Document with other West Coast councils</i> |
| Key Consultation Matters | | |
| Franz Josef Wastewater Treatment Plant Pages 8- 11 | <p>We commend Council on its proposal for a new wastewater treatment plant at Franz Josef. The existing wastewater treatment plant is ageing and the oxidation ponds are regularly subject to inundation and/or overflow in heavy rain. Increased tourist visits and recent extreme weather events are evidence of the need to prioritise action with respect to wastewater infrastructure within the Franz Josef township. It is important the measures taken ensure wastewater is treated properly to protect the wellbeing of the community and environment; this will be particularly necessary as the plant will be located close to an area likely to rupture in an Alpine Fault event.</p> | <i>CPH supports Council's intention to bring the proposal for new wastewater treatment plant at Franz Josef forward to its 2016-17 Annual Plan activities</i> |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | <p>We support Council's intention to bring this project forward to the 2016/17 annual plan activities. We are aware this project will impose a significant cost burden which ever option is decided upon. We acknowledge Council for seeking feedback from its ratepayers as they will be both the beneficiaries and the funders of this project.</p> <p>While we have no fixed view on which funding option would be preferable, CPH offers its assistance to Council in support of any case Council may make for central government funding for this project. We have experience in working with other councils to maximise the benefits of tourism subsidies for public health infrastructure.</p> | <p><i>We acknowledge Council for seeking feedback from the wider district regarding the funding of this project.</i></p> <p><i>CPH offers our assistance to Council in support of any case Council may make for central government funding for this project</i></p> |
| Hokitika stormwater system upgrade Pages 13-17 | <p>We support Council's proposed new programme to improve the Hokitika storm water network. We are pleased Council is committed to undertaking this work as it will increase capacity to mitigate the impacts of potentially more frequent flooding events. In the short term, this may have substantial financial implications for both Council and Westland residents. However, in the long-term we believe the upgrade will help minimise the on-going costs of flood damage to people, properties, public health and the environment.</p> | <p><i>CPH supports the implementation of the new Hokitika stormwater upgrade programme</i></p> |
| The Hokitika Waterfront Pages 18-19 | <p>We support Council's proposal to use Reserve Development Funds to progress the Hokitika Waterfront Development Concept Plan. As Council has stated this project has been consulted on and is supported by community volunteers. By supporting community</p> | <p><i>CPH supports Council's proposal to use of Reserve Development Funds to progress the Hokitika Waterfront project.</i></p> |

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| | <p>action Council is facilitating people's connection to their community and with each other.</p> <p>Additionally the project will also create a more attractive place for people to visit and hold events. We encourage Council to ensure the development is accessible to people of all ages and abilities and includes appropriate places to rest.</p> <p>Although the full effects of climate change are unknown, parts of New Zealand are already experiencing increased sea surge patterns and storm events which have had negative effects on land erosion and community safety. We therefore advise Council to include mitigation options for storm surges and sea-level rises when finalising plans for the Waterfront Development.</p> | <p><i>CPH recommends that the Waterfront Development is designed to be accessible to people of all ages and abilities.</i></p> <p><i>CPH recommends that Council include options to mitigate the effects of storm surges and sea-level rises when finalising the Water Development Plan.</i></p> |
| <p>Fees and Charges Pages 31-43</p> | <p><u>Planning and Regulatory Services</u> We support the reduction in charge-out hourly rates for planning and regulatory services.</p> <p><u>Food Premises Fees</u> We understand the reason Council is proposing a new range of fees covering registration, auditing, and compliance and monitoring of food premises. It seems fair that premises that require more frequent visits pay more.</p> <p>However, we are concerned that travel time for premises outside</p> | <p><i>CPH supports in principle the proposed changes to the charge-out rates for Planning and Regulatory Services.</i></p> <p><i>CPH commends Council for seeking</i></p> |

[illegible]

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| | <p><u>Stock Control</u></p> <p>We commend Council for the decision to shift the burden of the stock control service from the ratepayers to stock owners. We anticipate this will also act as an incentive to stock owners to better control their stock. However, there may be situations where it can be proven that the owner is not responsible for their stock wandering. We assume that in these situations they will not be held liable for the fee.</p> | <p><i>CPH supports the shift in stock control service fees to stock owners.</i></p> |
| <p>Other matters</p> | | |
| <p>Youth Development Strategy</p> | <p>Our submission to Council's Draft Long Term Plan 2015 included a recommendation for Council to initiate a Youth Development Strategy. Research shows that if young people feel connected to and valued within a community they are more positive, mentally well, healthy and achieve to a higher standard than those who feel less connected. The more strengths a young person has, the more likely he or she is to develop pro-social behaviours which impacts on the whole community. It is important young people have the opportunity for active involvement in decisions and plans that affect them.</p> <p>We are aware that Council is applying for Safe Community accreditation and development of a Youth Strategy will be an action with respect to the goal of Supporting Youth. We commend Council for this and offer our assistance both with the Safer Community Project and the development of a Youth Development Strategy. An excellent example can be found at</p> | <p><i>CPH endorses the development of a Youth Development Strategy for Westland District and we offer our assistance with this</i></p> |

| | | |
|----------------------------------|--|---|
| | www.waimakariri.govt.nz with guidelines at http://www.mvd.govt.nz/documents/policy-and-research/policy-document-final.pdf | |
| Pensioner Housing | We encourage Council to assess the level of insulation in its pensioner housing as this will assist in planning for future provision and funding if required. Legislation is currently being developed that will require landlords to meet insulation standards. It may be prudent for Council to assess insulation levels as there is no guarantee the EECA subsidised funding will continue. | <i>CPH encourages Council to assess the level of insulation in its pensioner housing</i> |
| Westland Wilderness Trail | We congratulate Council on the development and continued investment in the Westland Wilderness Trail. The Trail is already a destination activity for many tourists and we are aware feedback from users indicates a high level of satisfaction with the Trail experience | |
| Alcohol | We remind Council that alcohol continues to cause significant harm in communities across the West Coast. The West Coast is currently experiencing an upturn in tourist numbers with many choosing the Westland District as a link further south. We are aware this has already increased demand for alcohol licenses. We believe a Local Alcohol Policy will assist in preventing the opportunities for alcohol-related harm to both residents and visitors. We offer our assistance with development of a draft Alcohol Policy. | <i>CPH continues to recommend that Council develop a Local Alcohol Policy and we offer our assistance in its development</i> |

| | | |
|------------------|--|--|
| | | |
| Smokefree | <p>The higher than national prevalence of smoking on the West Coast continues to have a significant effect on the health and wellbeing of its residents. Smoking related sickness and death also impacts on the economic and social wellbeing of West Coast communities.</p> <p>New Zealand has committed to becoming smokefree by 2025 in order to reduce the harm caused by smoking. There are various ways in which this goal can be achieved including taxation, services to assist people to quit, and creating outdoor smokefree environments.</p> <p>Creating smokefree environments assists people who wish to quit and protects people from harmful second-hand smoke. Smokefree environments also de-normalises smoking which assists in reducing smoking uptake. Smokefree environments are also attractive places to be; especially for the 80-85% of people who are non-smokers.</p> <p>Council can support the achievement of a Smokefree Aotearoa and promote the wellbeing of its residents, by designating areas under its control as smokefree.</p> <p>We congratulate Council for removing the butt bins from outside the I-Site / entranceway to the Council building and replacing them</p> | <p><i>CPH applauds Council's continued effort</i></p> |

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| | <p>with smokefree signage. We also congratulate Council for recently reviewing its Smokefree Environments Policy and including an additional section on smokefree outdoor dining on Council-controlled land. This will make a positive difference for the majority of non-smokers who also enjoy the experience of sitting outside to enjoy their hot drinks and/or food.</p> | <p><i>to provide Smokefree areas within the district and congratulates Council on the recent changes it has adopted to its Smokefree Environments Policy</i></p> <p><i>CPH recommends that Council endorses the goal of Smokefree 2025 in its Annual Plan and through publications such as 'Westland Matters'.</i></p> |
|--|---|--|

Rachel Reid

From: Michael Orchard <orchards@xtra.co.nz>
Sent: Friday, 6 May 2016 4:50 p.m.
To: consult
Subject: Submission Draft Annual Plan 2016/17 - Michael Orchard

My details:-

Michael Orchard (Personal Submission)
 30 Whitcombe Terrace
 P O Box 80
 Hokitika 7842
 Phone 03 755 7310
 Cell 027 681 8765
orchards@xtra.co.nz

My submission is in 3 parts. I WISH TO SPEAK TO THEM ALL. Thank you.

1.0 The Hokitika Waterfront

The proposal for 2016/17 is to further support the implementation of the Waterfront Plan. It is proposed that \$100,000 be added to this with funds coming from the existing Reserves Development Fund.

As a long standing member of WAI (Westland Arts Incorporated)

I support this proposal.

Reasons:

- Little has been done to replace or improve amenities on the beachfront since construction of the seawall in 2013.
- Two years of time and effort on the part of volunteers and council staff, plus \$30,000, have already been invested in the developing the Waterfront Concept Plan. This will be wasted if the project stalls.
- Working drawings for Stage 1 will be completed in June ready for implementation at the beginning of the 2016/17 year.
- Public consultation has indicated positive support for the Waterfront Concept Plan and its implementation.
- The project fits the purpose of the Reserves Development Fund- to improve recreational facilities. The waterfront is the most popular recreational area of the town, a draw card for visitors and Hokitika's special asset.
- \$100,000 is necessary to achieve the landscaping work for the Stage 1 (beachfront). Any less will compromise the design and continuity of landscaping on the beachfront.
- Laying the foundation of the Concept Plan by landscaping will provide a framework for further initiatives which could be carried out by community groups or council (such as barbecue areas; picnic shelters; play equipment; seating; sculpture etc).

2.0 Hokitika's Regent Theatre. Proposal to assist in Capital Funding Assistance for remaining projects should get a high priority, together with the necessary support for a small portion of the running costs to part employ good managerial staff for the year.

As a 30 year member of Westland Community Centre Inc, and previous manager of the theatre,

Background. Recently noted in a letter send to all councillors, was my bringing as Chairman of the West Coast Farm Forestry Association, the biggest ever Conference to Hokitika that has been held in the theatre with over 200 persons for 6 days, with all the associated community and business house benefits, only possible because of the well maintained theatre and dedicated staff!

I support this proposal.

3.0 Proposal to Increase the Community Rate (for various reasons) and ESPECIALLY THE Levying introduced Last Year OF a Community Rate that was applied to ALL RATEABLE PROPERTIES (rather than just those that were occupied by dwellings).

I spoke strongly about this last year, with considerable support from the hearing, for my concern that as a Pensioner I had to pay 4 lots of Community Rates (not just 1 or 2), when only 1 of me uses the Community services. It was agreed at Council around the table that Unoccupied Sections could easily be Isolated out for rating purposes re the community Rate (other 2 rate types fine and justifiable in calculation), and was only about 15% or total property?. I ASK THAT THIS HAPPEN PLEASE THIS YEAR, so that rates truly representative and fair!

AND TO THE Councillor who said I should sell them, they have been for sale all that time, with no buyers, so that is a nonsense approach, with people likely being put off because of the high Westland DC rates.

I object to this proposal.

Rachel Reid

From: council@westlanddc.govt.nz on behalf of Westland District Council
<consult@westlanddc.govt.nz>
Sent: Friday, 6 May 2016 11:09 p.m.
To: consult
Subject: Form submission from: Submission on the draft Annual Plan 2016/17

Submitted on Friday, May 6, 2016 - 23:08 Submitted by user: Anonymous Submitted values are:

Name: Neville Manson
 Organisation (if applicable):
 Postal address:
 24 Brittan Street
 Hokitika
 7810
 Email: nevillemanson@xtra.co.nz
 Phone Number: 0276674634
 Submission: I support the proposal
 Type submission here:
 This submission relates to the proposal to fund an additional \$100,000 for the redevelopment of the Hokitika waterfront.

As a frequent user of this area I often engage with visitors to the region and can only see benefits for the tourist experience and locals' pleasure from this redevelopment.

I submit that this funding is approved for use in any area of the waterfront development, but excluding the area between the northern tip of Sunset Point and the southern extent of the new sea wall, unless the council is prepared to support and encourage the extension of the seawall to its logical conclusion at the Tambo.

I have witnessed several improvement projects in this vicinity in the past two years, mainly by Lions, only to see them lost to the sea.

Use of ratepayer funding to improve an erosion zone cannot be condoned.
 Equally, leaving a significant section of the waterfront undeveloped defeats the idea of a continuous waterfront experience between the Customhouse and the town center.

If council supports the redevelopment, as suggested by the proposed funding, then they must also support the sea wall extension.

As an aside, perhaps the council could display some leadership and broker a truce between the opposing factions in the pioneer statue debate and reach a compromise which sees him included as a center-point of the waterfront development. I see him standing at the end of Tancred Street at the top of the steps to the viewing platform, pointing new arrivals at the port to the northern goldfields while at the same time drawing visitors from the town center to the waterfront development.

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?: No If yes, do you want to make a joint case with another party?: No Do you require a language interpreter in order to present at the hearing?: No Would you prefer to present via an audio or audio-visual link?: No

Rachel Reid

From: council@westlanddc.govt.nz on behalf of Westland District Council
<consult@westlanddc.govt.nz>
Sent: Saturday, 7 May 2016 3:51 p.m.
To: consult
Subject: Form submission from: Submission on the draft Annual Plan 2016/17

Submitted on Saturday, May 7, 2016 - 15:50 Submitted by user: Anonymous Submitted values are:

Name: Mark Williams
 Organisation (if applicable): Ferngrove Holdings Ltd Postal address:
 643 Yaldhurst Rd
 RD6 Christchurch
 Email: ferngrovefarms@gmail.com
 Phone Number: 0212266300
 Submission: I oppose the proposal
 Type submission here:

For council to attend a consultation meeting with the township with no information about the proposed plant, a very poor financial presentation (to which we are expected to pay 50% of), along with no indication of whether ongoing maintenance costs will also be added to the rates is totally unacceptable. NO business would take a business proposal to their bank with such inadequate information and expect to receive a green light.

We ask council to provide all essential information regarding the proposed new Waste Water Plan to this community so that other financial and management scenarios can be assessed and considered.

DOc land beside the state highway just north of Franz should be investigated as we may not have to pay for it saving the land cost and some infrastructure as the current pipework both in and out would be currently going right past. The West Coast Development Trust needs to be approached about an interest free loan to the ratepayers also The pan tax is an unfair way of collecting the rates,a more fair way is capital value as with the river rate.

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?: Yes If yes, do you want to make a joint case with another party?: Yes Specify the party: Franz Josef Community Do you require a language interpreter in order to present at the hearing?: No Would you prefer to present via an audio or audio-visual link?: No

Rachel Reid

From: council@westlanddc.govt.nz on behalf of Westland District Council
<consult@westlanddc.govt.nz>
Sent: Saturday, 7 May 2016 8:11 p.m.
To: consult
Subject: Form submission from: Submission on the draft Annual Plan 2016/17

Submitted on Saturday, May 7, 2016 - 20:10 Submitted by user: Anonymous Submitted values are:

Name: Kay Godfrey
Organisation (if applicable):
Postal address:
1809 Kaniere Kowhitirangi Road
Kowhitirangi RD 1
Hokitika
Email: k.godfrey@xtra.co.nz
Phone Number: 03 755 7938

Submission: I am neutral to the proposal Type submission here:

I'm using the submission process is as a forum to request information and to make some observations relating to the Kokatahi Kowhitirangi Districts.

With a proposed rates increase of 5.9% for 2016/17 rates strike, I request via the submission process, response to the following queries which still remain unanswered despite previous requests made.

I enquired of WDC CEO at a Council "road show" meeting at Kokatahi Hall in 2015 and more recently of Northern Riding councillor M Montagu details of:-

1. What was the \$ value of rates collected in the Kokatahi & Kowhitirangi Districts, including the O'Reilly farm property at the south end of Lake Kaniere, but excluding Lake Kaniere settlement, and the Lake Kaniere Road properties, from the Kaniere Kowhitirangi Road turn off, for the each of the previous five years?
2. What has the \$ value of expenditure and classification of that expenditure within the abovementioned area for each of the previous five years?
3. When will work be scheduled to begin to maintain and upgrade the roading network to the Hokitika Gorge? Several years ago \$300,000 was approved by the then Council for this purpose
- sadly, to date it has not been expended on this project - what has happened to the project funding approved?
- why?
4. I received a letter in September 2014 from WDC CEO, thanking me for my submission to the Annual Plan and advising that Mr V Goel would be in contact to discuss matters raised. I spoke with Mr Goel in the council building in May 2015 whilst seeing another staff member on another matter, and despite my request for his response as indicated in that letter, I still await a reply - why?
5. Frequency of routine maintenance in our area and on Whitcombe Valley Road in particular, is frequently inadequate despite the high volumes of traffic using this road. Why?
- What is WDC procedure for checking maintenance requirements for the councils roading network?
- Who is responsible for completing regular checks - WDC staff or your contractor Westroads?
(On occasions we have called at Westroads to advise of roading issues however they advise that they receive their work orders from Council? - disillusioned, we no longer call but have a photo record of the problems experienced).

The condition of the unsealed section of Whitcombe Valley Road from mid December through to third week in January 2016, was a disgrace - bad corrugations, potholes, including at either end of all the bridges in that section, whilst the entrance to the Hokitika Gorge Carpark remained badly washed out following heavy rain.

Despite the Hokitika Gorge being a major scenic attraction and highly recommended by word of mouth, through various publications and websites, and our local I-Site, Council still continues to fail to address the hazards the roading network poses for every vehicle travelling that route.

Our close proximity to Hokitika surely simplifies a trip by your council and management team, (ideally after a period of rain), to the area to indulge in the experience and possibly begin to appreciate what we have been banging on about for several years now?.

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?: Yes If yes, do you want to make a joint case with another party?: No Do you require a language interpreter in order to present at the hearing?: No Would you prefer to present via an audio or audio-visual link?: No

Rachel Reid

From: council@westlanddc.govt.nz on behalf of Westland District Council
<consult@westlanddc.govt.nz>
Sent: Sunday, 8 May 2016 2:12 p.m.
To: consult
Subject: Form submission from: Submission on the draft Annual Plan 2016/17

Submitted on Sunday, May 8, 2016 - 14:11 Submitted by user: Anonymous Submitted values are:

Name: John Collett
 Organisation (if applicable):
 Postal address: Po Box 290 Hokitika
 Email: hcollett@xtra.co.nz
 Phone Number: 0210769087
 Submission: I oppose the proposal
 Type submission here: I oppose the kaniere storm water proposal Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?: Yes If yes, do you want to make a joint case with another party?: No Do you require a language interpreter in order to present at the hearing?: No Would you prefer to present via an audio or audio-visual link?: No

Rachel Reid

From: council@westlanddc.govt.nz on behalf of Westland District Council
<consult@westlanddc.govt.nz>
Sent: Sunday, 8 May 2016 4:14 p.m.
To: consult
Subject: Form submission from: Submission on the draft Annual Plan 2016/17

Submitted on Sunday, May 8, 2016 - 16:14 Submitted by user: Anonymous Submitted values are:

Name: Gerard and Bernadine Oudemans
 Organisation (if applicable): Holly Homestead Postal address:
 PO Box 35
 Franz Josef Glacier
 South Westland 7856
 Email: stay@hollyhomestead.co.nz
 Phone Number: 03 752 0299
 Submission: I oppose the proposal
 Type submission here:
 New Franz Josef wastewater treatment plant:

We agree that the works should be included in the 2016/17 plan. This work is long overdue.

However, we are vehemently opposed to the proposed "Local Capital Contribution Rate" (Option 1) being imposed on the community of Franz Josef for the WWP. We believe this to be unethical and also question the legality of it, as we have already paid considerable amounts for Capital Works through our rates so cannot accept that the ratepayers down here should have to pay twice.

The WDC have told the Franz community that the Council cannot afford to pay for the WWP – then how does the WDC expect the small community of Franz Josef to be able to afford this. At the end of the day this is a cleverly disguised "Tourist Tax".

To be fair, it should be paid for by the entire Westland District through the existing "Harmonised Rate". However, putting in a "pan tax" is not the fair way of going about it. We believe that council should base their calculations on the amount of water used by each property i.e. "The more water you use, the more waste water you produce, therefore the more you pay." This would be a much fairer system of "User pays" and would balance out the highs and lows of the tourist seasons.

The WDC wants tourists to visit our region and the Glaciers are the drawcard – EVERYONE in the district benefits from the tourists coming here.

Further to the public meeting held in Franz Josef, Council did not provide enough information to us to support the proposed rating structure of the new Waste Water Plant for Franz Josef. We ask council to provide all essential information regarding the proposed new Waste Water Plan to this community so that other financial and management scenarios can be assessed and considered.

The huge increases proposed for the Franz township are not sustainable for existing businesses and will potentially discourage growth, investment and possibly force businesses to run at a loss or close.

Why is this plant not being funded over a longer period? The lifetime of the plant will be around 20 - 30 years potentially so that would help spread the load.

The WDC are bulldozing ahead with another ill thought out and highly controversial plan. There has been no consultation with the Franz community as to what type of plant they would prefer and where they would like it

located. One questions whether the WDC has actually discussed the proposed location with neighbouring land owners as is required under the Resource Management Act. Who in their right mind would place a Waste Water Treatment plant in the middle of a tourist zone. Ask yourselves this: Would the people of Hokitika like a Waste Water Treatment Plant located in the middle of the CBD?

The Franz community has been told that the WDC are considering going to Central Government for 50% of the cost for this plant. Why? If the WDC is so cash strapped then why do they not just ask for 100%? If the Government says that's too much then negotiate down but don't go below 75%. If Central Government wants more tourists coming to this country then they need to "step up" and help struggling areas like The West Coast.

In conclusion:

The ONLY fair way to pay for this plant is via our normal rates i.e. District wide. The fairest way is to charge each property a percentage of total water consumption. If this means that the WDC needs to increase the charges on water usage by a few cents per cubic metre then that would be preferable, but this needs to be DISTRICT WIDE! The cost also needs to be spread out over the estimated lifetime of the plant.

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?: No If yes, do you want to make a joint case with another party?: No Do you require a language interpreter in order to present at the hearing?: No Would you prefer to present via an audio or audio-visual link?: No

Rachel Reid

From: council@westlanddc.govt.nz on behalf of Westland District Council
<consult@westlanddc.govt.nz>
Sent: Sunday, 8 May 2016 4:23 p.m.
To: consult
Subject: Form submission from: Submission on the draft Annual Plan 2016/17

Submitted on Sunday, May 8, 2016 - 16:23 Submitted by user: Anonymous Submitted values are:

Name: Gerard and Bernadine Oudemans
 Organisation (if applicable): Holly Homestead Postal address:
 PO Box 35
 Franz Josef Glacier
 South Westland 7856
 Email: stay@hollyhomestead.co.nz
 Phone Number: 03 752 0299
 Submission: I oppose the proposal
 Type submission here:
 Hokitika Waterfront

The Reserves Development Fund is not harmonised over the District.

As we understand it money from this fund is ONLY to be used to "mitigate the effects of development and is to be used for recreational purposes within the area it was collected from". Considering that the vast amount of money collected over the last decade or so for the Reserves Development Fund has come from developments outside Hokitika then that money should be retained for use within each of those communities.

If the \$100,000 from the Reserves Development Fund has been collected from outside Hokitika then we are OPPOSED to this money being used for the beach front development (which would include the rock wall).

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?: No If yes, do you want to make a joint case with another party?: No Do you require a language interpreter in order to present at the hearing?: No Would you prefer to present via an audio or audio-visual link?: No

Rachel Reid

From: council@westlanddc.govt.nz on behalf of Westland District Council
<consult@westlanddc.govt.nz>
Sent: Sunday, 8 May 2016 8:13 p.m.
To: consult
Subject: Form submission from: Submission on the draft Annual Plan 2016/17

Submitted on Sunday, May 8, 2016 - 20:13 Submitted by user: Anonymous Submitted values are:

Name: Dave and Tracey Waters

Organisation (if applicable): NA

Postal address:

PO Box 36

Franz Josef Glacier

Email: t.l.waters@hotmail.com

Phone Number: 03 7520013

Submission: I oppose the proposal

Type submission here: We feel theres insufficient information regarding the statement about units that are connected or able to connect to the reticulated waster water scheme in Franz Josef....Our rural property is not connected however could WDC be considering this for our property or does it simply not apply to us. In your option 1 there is reference to a fixed ammount for unconnected rating units within the catchment sheme however even though I suspect this applies to our property we haven't been able to find any information regarding how that cost may be applied ie an annual cost or simply an additional cost to our septic tank guy when he empties our tank contents into WDC ponds which he then passes on to WDC. There are a reasnable number of salary and wage earners in their own homes within the current catchment area who have no ability to pass additional rate charges on to anyone as people in business can if they feel they need to and this will impose financial hardship in what is allready an expensive town to live in on fixed incomes.

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?: No If yes, do you want to make a joint case with another party?: No Do you require a language interpretor in order to present at the hearing?: No Would you prefer to present via an audio or audio-visual link?: No

Rachel Reid

From: Tricia & David <tricia.david@netaccess.co.nz>
Sent: Sunday, 8 May 2016 8:44 p.m.
To: consult
Cc: 'Jackie Gurden'
Subject: Heritage West Coast Submission on the Westland District Council Draft Annual Plan 2016/17

To : Westland District Council

Heritage West Coast (HWC) wishes to support:

1. The proposed allocation of \$ 100,000 in 2016/17 to further implement the Hokitika Waterfront Plan, with funds coming from the existing Reserve Development Fund

Heritage West Coast understands that a major theme to be incorporated in this proposal is depiction of Hokitika's heritage, particularly its maritime heritage.

2. Carrying Over of the 2015/16 \$ 10,000 allocation in the Long Term Plan 2015-15 from the Reserve Development Fund for development of the Marks Road Reserve, Haast, to the 2016/17 Year

As this work has not commenced it is unlikely to be completed by 30 June 2016.

Development of this reserve at the entrance to Haast Village, could provide an attractive area in which to entice visitors from State Highway 6 and introduce them to the heritage of the Haast-Jackson Bay area. In particular, it could introduce visitors to :

- The proposed Haast Village to Haast Beach Track commencing on the opposite side of the village. The track itself could be used to interpret the natural history of the forest it passes through and the human history of use of the area's forests.
- The story of Mr Explorer Douglas and his work in the Haast- Jackson Bay area (a concept HWC understands Haast Promotions Group would like to work on with Hokitika Museum, using material the Museum develops for the Douglas Centennial Commemoration 2016)..

This project needs a mechanism for collaborative effort between the Haast Community and Westland District Council, such as a joint planning and implementation group. .Heritage West Coast would support this , by linking it with the experience of other West Coast community heritage groups and wider West Coast heritage projects.

Reasons

Both of the Hokitika and Haast projects would :

- Be in accordance with the objectives outlined in the Heritage West Coast Strategic Vision 2015-15.
- Provide a basis for leveraging national funding (e.g., the Tourism Growth Partnership Fund or and the Government's West Coast Growth Strategy) in accordance with Heritage West Coast's proposal to the Westland District Economic Stimulus Fund for developing cultural and heritage tourism, and a potential to expand this to support the physical works needed to develop attractions

Thank you

David Stapleton
 Treasurer

Heritage West Coast
PO Box 499
359 Main South Road
Pāroa
MĀWHERA / GREYMOUTH 7805
Te Tai o Poutini / The West Coast
TE WAI POUNAMU - AOTEAROA / NEW ZEALAND
email : d.stapleton@netaccess.co.nz
phone (03) 7626 515; mobile (027) 48 48 722

_____ Information from ESET Smart Security, version of virus signature database 13454 (20160507)

The message was checked by ESET Smart Security.

<http://www.eset.com>

Rachel Reid

From: council@westlanddc.govt.nz on behalf of Westland District Council
<consult@westlanddc.govt.nz>
Sent: Sunday, 8 May 2016 9:06 p.m.
To: consult
Subject: Form submission from: Submission on the draft Annual Plan 2016/17

Submitted on Sunday, May 8, 2016 - 21:05 Submitted by user: Anonymous Submitted values are:

Name: Gareth Fryer
 Organisation (if applicable):
 Postal address: P.O.Box 1, Hokitika 7842
 Email: garethfryer@slingshot.co.nz
 Phone Number: 021 155 9905
 Submission: I oppose the proposal
 Type submission here:

I am concerned about the state of Keogans Road. The current unsealed road makes living in our community both dangerous and unsafe for a number of reasons. Generally the road is badly pot holed, with Westroads staff and the grader currently attending to this approximately every 1-2 months at ratepayer expense. However within several days of their work, the road is potholed again, so between periods the road surface is certainly not adequate for the 100km/hr speed limit. Surely Council has an obligation to provide a safe and adequate road surface for the safety of its users. I personally have recovered several vehicles out of the deep ditches over the last year, luckily no one was injured in those instances, however it is only a matter of time.

The road is also narrow both on the sealed and unsealed section. When two vehicles are passing each other, is is rather stressful. Add a pedestrian into this mix and it is downright dangerous. For this reason many families are not allowing their children to ride bikes on the road, or even to walk to school.

The dust created from the road is significant, polluting drinking water that is collected from rooftops, and also blowing into peoples houses. This is creating health issues for a number of families. I myself have been forced to spent a significant amount of money upgrading my water storage and filtration, to enable me to fully drain and clean water tanks regularly to ensure the health of my wife and kids. I have photos showing 3 inches of sludge created from the dust from the road in the bottom of tanks within a short period of time. I presented a petition to Council a year ago with over 60 signatures on this subject, I received little response and certainly no action has been taken.

Keogans road and Mehrtens drive have seen the greatest growth for all of Hokitika and probably any community throughout the Westland District, our rates continue to climb and yet we are forgotten when it comes to the sealing of our road. Can I please remind Councillors that we have to supply our own Water collection, storage and treatment and our own Sewerage collection. It would be appreciated if Council could provide us with a safe road, wide enough to pass comfortably and sealed so that we can drive, walk and ride in safety and that we can allow our children some freedom in their lives as they become adolescents. A sealed road that protects our houses from significant and constant clouds of dust, and protects our water supplies from contamination. I employ Council to take some action on this.

Regards

Gareth Fryer

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?: Yes If yes, do you want to make a joint case with another party?: No Do you require a language interpreter in order to present at the hearing?: No Would you prefer to present via an audio or audio-visual link?: No

Rachel Reid

From: Don Neale & Sue Asplin <redmoki1@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, 8 May 2016 10:13 p.m.
To: consult
Subject: Don Neale WDC 2016 Annual Plan Submission

Kia ora

Please accept this late submission to the Council's 2016 Annual Plan.

Hokitika's Regent Theatre - I support an annual grant of at least \$30,000 to the theatre, which is included in the Long Term Plan.

Waterfront development - I support the provision of \$100,000 for the initial landscape development of the waterfront. I encourage the Council to negotiate funding from the WCRC for landscaping of the beachfront as part of that Council's obligations to mitigate the effects of the seawall they installed without a coastal permit under the RMA emergency works provisions in 2013.

Hokitika Museum - the museum is getting more people through its doors and so Council should consider reverting to longer winter hours (e.g. 10am-4pm), or shorten the 'winter hours season' (e.g. June - September), to make the most of the exhibits and facilities.

Keogans Road - I would like the Council to programme the sealing of this road into its annual plan to provide for the growing subdivision in the Mehrtens Road area.

Thank you for the opportunity. I do not wish to be heard in regards to this submission.

Regards
 Don Neale
 217 Sewell St, Hokitika
 7557092

Rachel Reid

From: council@westlanddc.govt.nz on behalf of Westland District Council
<consult@westlanddc.govt.nz>
Sent: Sunday, 8 May 2016 10:13 p.m.
To: consult
Subject: Form submission from: Submission on the draft Annual Plan 2016/17

Submitted on Sunday, May 8, 2016 - 22:13 Submitted by user: Anonymous Submitted values are:

Name: Kevin England
Organisation (if applicable):
Postal address:
1 Lake Kaniere Road,
Hokitika, 7811
Email: englandkev@gmail.com
Phone Number: +64297717669
Submission: I oppose the proposal
Type submission here:

I oppose the proposed spending on the Hokitika Stormwater Drainage System.

I also oppose spending on the Hokitika Waterfront Development Concept.

With regards to flooding, the main problem is that much of the land area of Hokitika is very close to, or at, sea level. The increasing occurrence and severity of floods in our town will continue and pumping will help for small floods, but when the (upgraded) system is faced with a volume of water that exceeds it's capacity, or the pumping fails for some reason (power outage, maintenance issues, damage, etc) we will face a very catastrophic flood.

Sea levels are rising. Meteorological hazards (storms) are increasing in severity steadily with time. We know these facts. The flooding issue and the coastal erosion issues are inherently linked and by taking a piecemeal approach to managing these issues we are creating a situation where people are encouraged to feel protected, and develop a false sense of security. In reality the risks faced are increasing with time, regardless of the engineering efforts (sea defence and installation of pump stations) being made and proposed.

The current sea wall is a temporary measure that has given some people the misguided impression that Hokitika is permanently not at risk from coastal erosion. Any spending on the waterfront needs to be directed towards maintenance and improvements to the hazard control infrastructure, not simply making it look nice (which it already does).

My main concern with the increased spending on stormwater systems (and beautification of the waterfront) is that the actual effect of this work would be to increase our vulnerability to higher magnitude flooding events which could have very severe consequences.

There are areas of Hokitika which benefit from higher land elevation and are therefore not flood prone. This is where public spending on beautification should be directed, since the improvements made there will be permanent. Similarly, the vast sums of money that are being proposed to be spent on pumping an ever increasing volume of water into an ever rising sea would be far better spent on helping with the costs of relocating some of the worst affected flood-prone buildings to higher ground. We need to be realistic about the future and understand that pumping water and building rock walls will not prevent Hokitika from flooding and washing away into the sea.

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?: Yes If yes, do you want to make a joint case with another party?: No Do you require a language interpreter in order to present at the hearing?: No Would you prefer to present via an audio or audio-visual link?: No

Rachel Reid

From: Stephen D <stephendnz@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, 9 May 2016 2:45 a.m.
To: consult
Subject: Submission on the Draft Annual Plan
Attachments: Submission to Draft plan.pdf

My apologies for not getting this in before the 5pm Friday 6th May deadline, but I trust that as this is still being delivered before effective opening hours of Monday that it will be accepted. I assume council personal were not working through these submissions on the weekend..

See attached submission.

And yes I would like to be heard in respect to my submission.

Kind regards

Stephen Dalley
173 Stafford Street
Hokitika

755-7485
Email; stephendnz@gmail.com

Submission to the draft annual plan

Stephen Dalley
173 Stafford Street
Hokitika

Phone 755-7485

stephendnz@gmail.com

Yes I would like to speak in support of my submission.

Issue: Timing and options for paying for the new Franz Josef wastewater* treatment plant

Question 1: Do you support Council bringing this project forward i.e. initiating it in 2016/17?

YES: I support the bringing this project forward.

Question: Which rating option for repayment of the loan do you support?

YES: I support option 1 (Preferred by Council): Local Capital Contribution Rate

Issue: The timing and scope of stormwater system improvements in Hokitika*

YES: I support the timing of the improvements.

NO: I do not support the scope of the improvements.

I believe that rather than a dependency on mechanical pumps, which have potential to malfunction or not be activated, I think investigation should be undertaken into the viability of a greater utilization of rainwater detention systems in and around the town. These could take the form of rain gardens or wetland type ponds or lakes constructed in strategic locations in the town, or possibly combined with below ground detention tanks, thus allowing for excess runoff water to be temporarily retained in these facilities until such time as rainfall ceases and/or river levels reduce allowing a more natural flow of water into the river or sea.

New housing units, or housing subdivisions could also be required to mitigate the effects of stormwater runoff created by their developments by providing one or other similar facilities on their own sites.

Issue: An increased use of reserve funds is proposed

To continue to enhance the Hokitika waterfront

Question: Do you think we should use reserves funding? If yes, how much?

NO: I do not support the funding of beautification to the waterfront area.

At least not until such time as the continued erosion of the beach area, particularly around the area of Sunset point has been addressed.

It would seem that this entire point, from the end of the existing sea wall north of the Tambo is at great risk of being washed away by some of the recent huge seas and more frequent higher tides. It seems that trying to hold back the forces of huge ocean swells has not been very effective. Many times the point area around the viewing tower has suffered greatly from mother nature's assault, and I do not see this stopping anytime soon.

A proactive approach needs to be taken to cut off that area.. or at least retain an area between the end of where the sea is now, across to the river, so that we do not run the risk of the high seas breaking through into the town and causing catastrophic damage to the low lying parts of the town centre: Which is pretty much most of it, which is already at or precariously close to the existing sea level,

Issue: Alternative levels and ways to collect are proposed for these fees and charges:

The Food Act inspection regime (a Statement of Proposal is available)*

NO; I do NOT support increasing fees based on hourly rates if those rates are based rates of \$350 an hour I think such rates are an insult to hard working restauraners.

Any such fees set for inspections of food premises, or any other type of council monitoring or inspections should be done only on a cost recovery basis and this recovery should be based on annual costs of providing this service and amortised over all inspections. Some may take longer than others, but those businesses, many of whom may already be struggling financially should not be further burdened by higher than necessary charges from their councils.

And furthermore I think that even the current \$480 fee should be reviewed in light of actual costs of providing such inspection across the board. How many inspections are carried out annually for that \$480?

Issue: Hawkers/mobile shops licenses*

The proposal is to have both hawkers and mobile shops pay the same fee as each other for Trading in Public Places, to require mobile food shops to pay separately for licensing under the Food Act, and to increase annual fees to better align these businesses' contributions with those made by ratepaying businesses on private land.

NO: I do not support this fee increase. I do not think that an individual who sets up a small table or display stand, particularly in an area where they are not competing directly with a larger rate paying business should be burdened with a \$429 fee. As a council you should be encouraging and supporting small business innovation. This small trader if given a chance to get established could well become one of those full rate paying businesses in the future.

Fees of \$429 per year for mobile shops:

NO: I do not support these fees. Again, as with the The Food Act inspection regime I believe that such fees should be there to simply recover costs of providing Food service inspectors, and that such inspectors should be council employees, and not private contractors unless this service can be contracted at a comparable cost to the councils, and therefore the businesses.

And **NO** these mobile shops should not charged the same fee as a full restaurant, assuming that such business is not of the physical scale of a restaurant. Again, the fee should be related to the time and cost of providing such an inspection.

Perhaps as an encouragement to new businesses, and as a way to support growth in our area, there could even be consideration to waiving the costs of the first time setup inspection.

Dog registration (an amendment to the Dog Control Policy is available)*

Issue: It is proposed that the owners of 'working dogs' be offered reduced dog registration rates. And that Council reward dog owners who meet the criteria for 'Selected Dog Ownership' with reduced dog registration fees.

NO: I do not support the changes to the dog registration fees. I think that all dog owners should be charged the same fair and reasonable registration fee. And I think this fee should also be revised based on what people get for that money.

If this money is currently being used to pay for monitoring and collection of roaming or dangerous dogs then I believe that this cost should be bourn by those who are not responsible dog owners, those who let their dogs roam the streets.

I also believe such fines for roaming, uncontrolled dogs, should come with flexibility. Initial, or one off occurrences could be issued warnings, and repeat offences could be charged at higher rates.

But again I think this should be tempered with some common sense. Having ones dog snatched by the dog patrol for stepping outside ones property does not seem very fair, unless such property is on a main/busy road and is wandering and causing a traffic hazard.

Stock control fees*

The proposal is to reduce the burden to ratepayers of the stock control service by moving to more of a user-pays system.

YES: I do support a call out fee to deal with wandering stock. Large animals wandering on country roads create serious risk to the motoring public, often resulting in serious injury or death and people should be required to take all practicable steps to contain their stock.

Rachel Reid

From: Diane Maitland
Sent: Monday, 9 May 2016 7:42 a.m.
To: Rachel Reid; Karen Jury
Subject: FW: submission on LTP/Rates

Biddy Manera
 45 Tramway St
 ROSS

8 May 2016

Westland District Council
 Weld St
 HOKITIKA

SUBMISSION OF LTP AND RATES

Once again, we are going to have to dig into the lining of our already well-worn pockets, in expectation of another predicted Westland District Councils Rates increases. Weren't they meant to be 'capped'.....or has that blown out the window on one of the prevailing winds?

Some words from the LTP.....'each generation of ratepayers should pay for the services they receive'.....at this rate, we will all be paying from the grave, but in all honesty.....the noose of rates increase means that we are already in 'purgatory', paying for the sins of overspend from Councils past.

Services 'received' in Ross.....are beyond minimal. The Ross Community is continually fending for itself and for its ratepayers, (as do all the small communities of Westland) a lot of the time it is out of our own pockets. We are doing work that Council

have contractors to do. And yet we are once again, being bombarded with the tag.....'RATES INCREASE'.....what for??????????

We do not have any increase in community services, than we had last year.....ie, medical, library, museum, high schools, movies etc.....we have to pay every time we leave Ross, to travel to Hokitika to access these services.

A predicted increase of around \$200 on my property alone, equals the funds needed to cover the costs of my necessary trips for our own health and wellbeing appointments. This continual increased bombardment on ratepayer's is beyond reality for many households, who are already struggling to keep their head above water as it is. To what benefit, does Council see, in putting such stress upon the ratepayers.

We lost the Sole Police Service, here in Ross (and surrounding area), Westland District Council did not come to the Community and give its support, until it was asked, sometime down the track. The Community had to fight alone initially.....We seem to have to be doing a lot of fighting for our Community, the public toilets to be retained , swimming pool, playgroup building, the hall roof/kitchen dire repairs, cemetery.....the list goes on.

Community Volunteers are the backbone of small towns, and they are doing amazing things for their towns and the Westland District.....should they have to pay for doing this, by being made to pay more in their rates? I don't believe they should!!

Don't increase rates.

Increase honesty to the ratepayers and keep a check on the companies contracted to the Westland District Council, to see that they are up to standard.....and give us a break.

Biddy Manera

Rachel Reid

From: council@westlanddc.govt.nz on behalf of Westland District Council
<consult@westlanddc.govt.nz>
Sent: Monday, 9 May 2016 7:51 a.m.
To: consult
Subject: Form submission from: Submission on the draft Annual Plan 2016/17

Submitted on Monday, May 9, 2016 - 07:50 Submitted by user: Anonymous Submitted values are:

Name: Zak Neale
 Organisation (if applicable):
 Postal address:
 217 Sewell St
 Hokitika 7810
 Email: zak.zn.nz@gmail.com
 Phone Number: 03 755 7092
 Submission: I support the proposal
 Type submission here:

I have prepared this independently but estimate that my submission represents about 40 current residents of Mehrten's and Keogan's Roads (as well as countless future residents, which includes myself).

Almost a decade ago, the Mehrten's road subdivision consents required 640m of seal to be laid; this was regardless of the fact that the road's only access is via a 680m stretch of gravel along Keogan's Road. This area is developing at a fast rate, alongside Providence Drive where more subdivisions and even a campground are being set up. I believe that the time has come for the Westland District Council to seal the 800m metal section of Keogan's Road (120m past Mehrten's Road), granting reliable access to all homes in that area.

The gravel road is used to access a total of twenty-three existing homes, and is presently developing potholes and drops directly down a steep and hazardous hill. This count includes fourteen homes on Mehrten's Road and nine homes that access directly from the unsealed section of Keogan's Road.

Also feeding off the gravel section of Keogan's Road are 16 sections that are able to be easily built on (not taking into account the possibility of further subdivision). This indicates that in the near future, thirty-nine families could be travelling home via the Keogan's Road gravel strip.

I understand that health issues have also been raised about the dust from the road settling in water tanks.

To keep the residents of the Mehrten's Road area safe, please consider sealing the rest of Keogan's Road.

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?: Yes If yes, do you want to make a joint case with another party?: No Do you require a language interpreter in order to present at the hearing?: No Would you prefer to present via an audio or audio-visual link?: No

Rachel Reid

From: council@westlanddc.govt.nz on behalf of Westland District Council
<consult@westlanddc.govt.nz>
Sent: Monday, 9 May 2016 7:53 a.m.
To: consult
Subject: Form submission from: Submission on the draft Annual Plan 2016/17

Submitted on Monday, May 9, 2016 - 07:52 Submitted by user: Anonymous Submitted values are:

Name: Zak Neale

Organisation (if applicable):

Postal address:

217 Sewell St

Hokitika 7810

Email: zak.zn.nz@gmail.com

Phone Number:

Submission: I support the proposal

Type submission here: I support the proposal to allocate \$100k for the waterfront landscape development plan.

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?: No If yes, do you want to make a joint case with another party?: No Do you require a language interpreter in order to present at the hearing?: No Would you prefer to present via an audio or audio-visual link?: No

SUBMISSION on the DRAFT ANNUAL PLAN 2016/17Name: Sue AsplinPreferred Contact details (email or address): 217 Sewell StreetHokitika 7810Phone Number: 03) 7557092 Signature: p.p. S.J. Asplin

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?:


☒ Yes ☐ No**The Hokitika Waterfront**

The proposal for 2016/17 is to further support the implementation of the Waterfront Plan. It is proposed that \$100,000 be added to this with funds coming from the existing Reserves Development Fund.

| |
|--------------------------|
| I support this proposal. |
|--------------------------|

Reasons:

- Little has been done to replace or improve amenities on the beachfront since construction of the seawall in 2013.
- Two years of time and effort on the part of volunteers and council staff, plus \$30,000, have already been invested in the developing the Waterfront Concept Plan. This will be wasted if the project stalls.
- Working drawings for Stage 1 will be completed in June ready for implementation at the beginning of the 2016/17 year.
- Public consultation has indicated positive support for the Waterfront Concept Plan and its implementation.
- The project fits the purpose of the Reserves Development Fund- to improve recreational facilities. The waterfront is the most popular recreational area of the town, a draw card for visitors and Hokitika's special asset.
- \$100,000 is necessary to achieve the landscaping work for the Stage 1 (beachfront). Any less will compromise the design and continuity of landscaping on the beachfront.
- Laying the foundation of the Concept Plan by landscaping will provide a framework for further initiatives which could be carried out by community groups or council (such as barbecue areas; picnic shelters; play equipment; seating; sculpture etc).



PO Box 126 Hokitika

www.hokitika.orgadmin@hokitika.org

5 May 2016

SUBMISSION TO THE DRAFT ANNUAL PLAN**THE HOKITIKA WATERFRONT**

Enterprise Hokitika strongly supports \$100,000 being used from the Reserve Development Fund to implement the waterfront plan.

We agree that this a visionary project and a project that will benefit the community as a whole. We feel that it deserves full support from Council.

Enterprise Hokitika would be happy to be involved in this project and offer whatever assistance they can.

We wish to speak to this submission.

Rachael Roberts

Chair

Enterprise Hokitika Inc

NAME

S. Word - F. Flanagan

J. Tainui

CAROL TAINUI

Jayne Beaumont

Nelson Bradlee

Alan Stobie

Alice Phillips

Donna

Book 8

BUSINESS

TEICHELHANN'S BED & BREAKFAST

Heart of Gold.

WINDOUTDOORS LTD

Westland Greenstone

HOKITIKA CYCLES & SPORTS WORKS

Elcock & Johnston

Sweet Alices Fudge Kitchen

CAFE 39.

Carpet Court


Heritage Highway Motel.

Hokitika Co of Galleries

| NAME | BUSINESS |
|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Joanne Gardner | The Vintage Tree 7555374 |
| Natasha Nancivell | Fleur De Lis Florist |
| Jane Gullman | The Beauty Spot |
| Rebecca Pearson | Red Streetwear |
| WILLIAM STEYN. | STONEPAINTER |
| Clare Shaw | Clare Cut Hair Design |
| Jenny Keogan. | Mountain Jade |
| Noeline Pullan | Mountain Spa |
| Colin Davidson | Heritage Jade |
| Krissy van Zeist | SunshinZ |
| Liz Mehrtens | Addisons clothing |
| Wayne Sweetman | Resere |
| Tracey Rich | S'all Good Juice Bar ☺ |
| Shelley Steward | Total Automotive |
| Trocie Percy | TP Goldsmiths |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

[illegible]

Answer



PO Box 126 Hokitika

www.hokitika.orgadmin@hokitika.org

5 May 2016

SUBMISSION ON DRAFT ANNUAL PLAN

Enterprise Hokitika is asking for funds to be allocated in the Annual Plan for regular maintenance and upgrading of footpaths, information and street signs, road surfaces and markings.

Including but not limited to: reinstating the annual steam cleaning and gum removal of the footpaths; a systematic upgrade of business, information and street signs and removal of moss and lichen.

Enterprise Hokitika would be happy to enter into dialogue regarding priority areas and the possibility of partnership projects.

We wish to speak to this submission.

Rachael Roberts

Chair

Enterprise Hokitika Inc

NAME

B. Ward - FJ Flanagan

J Laine

CAROLE TAINLI

Layne Beaumont

Nelson Bradley

Alan Stobie

Alice Phillips

Donna

Book 8

BUSINESS

TEICHELMAN'S BED & BREAKFAST

Heart of Gold

WINDOUTDOORS LTD

Westland Greenstone

HOKITIKA CYCLES, SPORTSWORLD

EL COCK & JOHNSTON

Sweet Alices Fudge Kitchen

CAFE 39.

Carpet Court

Heritage Highway Motel.

Coast Gallery

| NAME | BUSINESS |
|---------------------|------------------------|
| Joanne Gardiner | The Vintage Tree |
| Nelissa Dancakivell | Fleur De Lis Florist. |
| Jamie Gillman | The Beauty Spot |
| Mr. Duff | Clocktower Cafe |
| Rebecca Pearson | Red Streetwear |
| WILLIAM STEYN | STONE PAINTER |
| Claire Shaw | Claire Cut Hair Design |
| Jenny Keogan | Mountain Jade |
| Noeline Pullan | Mountain Spa |
| COLIN DAVIDSON | Heritage Jade |
| Krissy van Zeist | SunshineZ |
| Liz Mehrten's | Addisons Clothing |
| Wayne Sweetman | Resene |
| Tracey Rich | S'all Good Juice Bar |
| Shelley Steward | Total Automotive |
| Troie Percy | TP Goldsmiths |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

[illegible]

[illegible]



PO Box 126 Hokitika

www.hokitika.orgadmin@hokitika.org

6 May 2016

SUBMISSION ON DRAFT ANNUAL PLAN**COMMUNITY RATE FUNDING**

In 2014 we asked Council to collect the targeted rate off all commercial businesses. In 2015 we asked Council to identify commercial businesses operating from residential properties and rate them accordingly. This process has begun, but has not been completed.

We asked Council to increase the geographical boundary of the targeted rate from the Tasman Sea to the Main Divide and the Taramakau River to the Mikonui River. To summarise, what we have been asking for is a fairer method of funding the marketing and promotion of Our Cool Little Town.

All of these submissions have been stalled or rejected by a Council that acknowledged the excellent results Enterprise Hokitika has achieved in developing a strong brand and significant collateral and assets.

We have continued to work tirelessly and in good faith that a solution would be found. As our neighbouring regions have experienced a drop in both domestic and international tourism, Westland has not.

Enterprise Hokitika submit that the \$100,000 applied for come from the community rate and that the targeted Enterprise Hokitika rate be suspended for one year while the issues of commercial/residential ratings and boundary lines are consulted on and worked through. This would allow Enterprise Hokitika to continue to deliver key initiatives and strategies as outlined in the budget.

This would spread the costs of marketing and promoting our region fairly over all rate payers – as is already the case for the funding of Tourism West Coast and the West Coast Wilderness Trail.

This model also currently funds the Haast Promotion Group and Glacier Country Promotions.

We are asking Councillors to recognise the importance of tourism to our economy and endorse the work we do by providing us with funding from the community rate.

We would like to speak to this submission.

Rachael Roberts
Chair
Enterprise Hokitika Inc.

SUBMISSION on the DRAFT ANNUAL PLAN 2016/17Name: __Anne Daniel__

Organisation: _____

Preferred Contact details (email or address):

radaniel@slingshot.co.nzPhone Number: __03 7557193__ Signature: 

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?:

☒ Yes☐ No**The Hokitika Waterfront**

The proposal for 2016/17 is to further support the implementation of the Waterfront Plan. It is proposed that \$100,000 be added to this with funds coming from the existing Reserves Development Fund.

| |
|---------------------------------|
| I support this proposal. |
|---------------------------------|

Reasons:

- Little has been done to replace or improve amenities on the beachfront since construction of the seawall in 2013.
- Two years of time and effort on the part of volunteers and council staff, plus \$30,000, have already been invested in the developing the Waterfront Concept Plan. This will be wasted if the project stalls.
- Working drawings for Stage 1 will be completed in June ready for implementation at the beginning of the 2016/17 year.
- Public consultation has indicated positive support for the Waterfront Concept Plan and its implementation.
- The project fits the purpose of the Reserves Development Fund- to improve recreational facilities. The waterfront is the most popular recreational area of the town, a draw card for visitors and Hokitika's special asset.
- \$100,000 is necessary to achieve the landscaping work for the Stage 1 (beachfront). Any less will compromise the design and continuity of landscaping on the beachfront.
- Laying the foundation of the Concept Plan by landscaping will provide a framework for further initiatives which could be carried out by community groups or council (such as barbecue areas; picnic shelters; play equipment; seating; sculpture etc).

SUBMISSION on the DRAFT ANNUAL PLAN 2016/17

This submission is a public document and the details provided will be displayed to members of the public.

Description of Proposal

On 4 April 2016 Council provided public notice that a Consultation Document and the draft Annual Plan is available. The public notice provides information about how the public can acquire information about the proposal and make a submission.

The closing date for submissions is 6 May 2016, 5pm.

Send or deliver to:

The Westland District Council
Private Bag 704
36 Weld Street
HOKITIKA.

Email to:

consult@westlanddc.govt.nz

Complete a submission online:

www.westlanddc.govt.nz

Name: Graham + Linsey Monk

Organisation (if applicable): _____

Preferred contact details i.e. your postal address, or your email:

64 Mill Road Kokarahi R D 1
Hokitika 08 75

Phone Number: 03 755 7949

Signature: G.M. Monk

NB: Your signature is not required if submitting by electronic means

1. **Please use one form for each submission**
Extra forms are available from the Council Office and online www.westland.govt.nz
2. **Indicate your attitude to the proposal**
i.e. I support/oppose/ am neutral to the proposal.
3. **Make your submission**
State clearly and in summary the nature of your submission. Give reasons.
4. **State whether or not you wish to be heard by the Council at a hearing**
Please note: If you do not want to speak, your written submission will still be equally taken into account.
5. **State if you wish to present a joint submission, if you require a language interpreter, or if you would like the Council to consider audio or audio-visual presentation options.**

Use separate paper if necessary.

Use separate paper if necessary.

I support / oppose / am neutral to the proposal (Circle one)

My submission is: Whitcombe Valley Road

This road wants widening to cope with the increased number of cars and buses going to the Hokitika Gorge

The road wants to be sealed from Ridgeon creek to the Gorge car park

Submissions will be heard in May 2016

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission? Yes / No (Circle one)

If yes, do you want to make a joint case with another party? Yes / No (Circle one)

Specify the party:

Do you require a language interpreter in order to present at the hearing? Yes / No (Circle one)

If yes, please specify your requirements:

Would you prefer to present via an audio or audio-visual link? Yes / No (Circle one)

If yes, you will be contacted to discuss arrangements.

Thank you for providing your input.



SUBMISSION on COUNCIL'S DOG POLICY

This submission is a public document and the details provided will be displayed to members of the public.

Description of Proposal -

On 4 April 2016 Council provided public notice that a Statement of Proposal to review the current Dog Policy is available. The public notice provides information about how the public can acquire information about the proposal and make a submission.

The closing date for submissions is 6 May 2016, 5pm.

Send or deliver to:

The Westland District Council
Private Bag 704
36 Weld Street
HOKITIKA.

Email to:

consult@westlanddc.govt.nz

Complete a submission online:

www.westlanddc.govt.nz

Name:

Jean OLIVER

Organisation (if applicable):

Preferred contact details i.e. your postal address, or your email:

38 Whitcombe Tce
HOKITIKA 7810

Phone Number:

021 058 4947

Signature

NB: Your signature is not required if submitting by electronic means

1. Please use one form for each submission

Extra forms are available from the Council Office and online www.westland.govt.nz

2. Indicate your attitude to the proposal

i.e. I support/oppose/ am neutral to the proposal.

3. Make your submission

State clearly and in summary the nature of your submission. Give reasons.

4. State whether or not you wish to be heard by the Council at a hearing

Please note: If you do not want to speak, your written submission will still be equally taken into account.

5. State if you wish to present a joint submission, if you require a language interpreter, or if you would like the Council to consider audio or audio-visual presentation options.

Use separate paper if necessary.

Use separate paper if necessary.

I support / oppose / am neutral to the proposal (Circle one)

My submission is:

It is common sense to encourage + recognise responsible dog owners by reducing their fees. We have been asking for a return to this system for a long time - pleased to support such a positive move!

- we count responsible ownership as:
- including:
 - having an enclosed yard for the dog
 - not letting the dog run free
 - always picking up the poots.
 - paying our fees.
 - basic training.

All the best for the change.

Jean Oliver
 Ian Oliver

Submissions will be heard in May 2016

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission? Yes / No (Circle one)

If yes, do you want to make a joint case with another party? Yes / No (Circle one)

Specify the party:

Do you require a language interpreter in order to present at the hearing? Yes / No (Circle one)

If yes, please specify your requirements:

Would you prefer to present via an audio or audio-visual link? Yes / No (Circle one)

If yes, you will be contacted to discuss arrangements.

Thank you for providing your input.



SUBMISSION on the DRAFT ANNUAL PLAN 2016/17

This submission is a public document and the details provided will be displayed to members of the public.

Description of Proposal

On 4 April 2016 Council provided public notice that a Consultation Document and the draft Annual Plan is available. The public notice provides information about how the public can acquire information about the proposal and make a submission.

The closing date for submissions is 6 May 2016, 5pm.

Send or deliver to:

The Westland District Council
Private Bag 704
36 Weld Street
HOKITIKA.

Email to:

consult@westlanddc.govt.nz

Complete a submission online:

www.westlanddc.govt.nz

Name: ERIC WALKER.

Organisation (if applicable): N/A.

Preferred contact details i.e. your postal address, or your email:

141 JOLLIE ST.
HOKITIKA 7810.

Phone Number: 03-7555158.

Signature

Eric Walker

NB: Your signature is not required if submitting by electronic means

1. **Please use one form for each submission**
Extra forms are available from the Council Office and online www.westland.govt.nz
2. **Indicate your attitude to the proposal**
i.e. I support/oppose/ am neutral to the proposal.
3. **Make your submission**
State clearly and in summary the nature of your submission. Give reasons.
4. **State whether or not you wish to be heard by the Council at a hearing**
Please note: If you do not want to speak, your written submission will still be equally taken into account.
5. **State if you wish to present a joint submission, if you require a language interpreter, or if you would like the Council to consider audio or audio-visual presentation options.**

Use separate paper if necessary.

Use separate paper if necessary.

I support / ☒ oppose / am neutral to the proposal (Circle one)

My submission is: HOKITIKA STORMWATER NETWORK
 I OPPOSE THE PROPOSED STORMWATER NETWORK AS I FEEL THE COST IS TOO GREAT FOR FLOOD WORK IN SUCH A SMALL AREA OF THE TOWN.

ONE PROBLEM IT NNOYS ME IS THAT THE STORMWATER CHANNEL ALONG THE BANK OF THE GIBSON ST WALKWAY HAS NOT BEEN CLEANED OUT FOR A VERY LONG TIME AND IT SHOULD BE UNDER THE DOC & W.D.C. CONTRACT. A GOOD CLEAN COULD OUT ~~MAKING~~ COULD HELP A LOT IN FLOOD TIMES. MAINTENANCE SEEMS TO BE A PROBLEM WITH FLOODING.

Submissions will be heard in May 2016

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission? Yes / ☒ No (Circle one)If yes, do you want to make a joint case with another party? Yes / ☒ No (Circle one)

Specify the party:

Do you require a language interpreter in order to present at the hearing? Yes / ☒ No (Circle one)

If yes, please specify your requirements:

Would you prefer to present via an audio or audio-visual link? Yes / ☒ No (Circle one)

If yes, you will be contacted to discuss arrangements.

Thank you for providing your input.

SUBMISSION on the DRAFT ANNUAL PLAN 2016/17

This submission is a public document and the details provided will be displayed to members of the public.

Description of Proposal

On 4 April 2016 Council provided public notice that a Consultation Document and the draft Annual Plan is available. The public notice provides information about how the public can acquire information about the proposal and make a submission.

The closing date for submissions is 6 May 2016, 5pm.

Send or deliver to:

The Westland District Council
Private Bag 704
36 Weld Street
HOKITIKA.

Email to:

consult@westlanddc.govt.nz

Complete a submission online:

www.westlanddc.govt.nz

Name: ERIC WALKER.

Organisation (if applicable): N/A.

Preferred contact details i.e. your postal address, or your email:

141 TOLLIS ST.
HOKITIKA. 7810

Phone Number: 03-7555158

Signature *Eric Walker*

NB: Your signature is not required if submitting by electronic means

1. Please use one form for each submission

Extra forms are available from the Council Office and online www.westland.govt.nz

2. Indicate your attitude to the proposal

i.e. I support/oppose/ am neutral to the proposal.

3. Make your submission

State clearly and in summary the nature of your submission. Give reasons.

4. State whether or not you wish to be heard by the Council at a hearing

Please note: If you do not want to speak, your written submission will still be equally taken into account.

5. State if you wish to present a joint submission, if you require a language interpreter, or if you would like the Council to consider audio or audio-visual presentation options.

Use separate paper if necessary.

Use separate paper if necessary.

I support / oppose / am neutral to the proposal (Circle one)

My submission is:

I OPPOSE THE HIGH COST OF THE FRANZ JOSEF SEWERAGE PROBLEM. I UNDERSTAND AND BELIEVE A CHEAPER SYSTEM CAN BE FOUND BY OUR ENGINEERS AND A FURTHER STUDY SHOULD BE MADE. EVERY EFFORT SHOULD BE MADE TO HAVE EVERYONE INVOLVED IN FRANZ JOSEF TOWNSHIP REDEVELOPMENT BECAUSE OF THIS NATIONAL TRAGEDY.

Submissions will be heard in May 2016

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission? Yes / No (Circle one)If yes, do you want to make a joint case with another party? Yes / No (Circle one)

Specify the party:

Do you require a language interpreter in order to present at the hearing? Yes / No (Circle one)

If yes, please specify your requirements:

Would you prefer to present via an audio or audio-visual link? Yes / No (Circle one)

If yes, you will be contacted to discuss arrangements.

Thank you for providing your input.

151 P H

Home > Submission on the draft Annual Plan 2016/17

Submission on the draft Annual Plan 2016/17

This submission is public and the details provided will be displayed to members of the public.

Description of Proposal – On 31 March 2016 Council approved public notification of the Consultation Document (for the draft Annual Plan), the Statement of Proposal for the Health Act 2014 and the Statement of Proposal for the Council's Dog Policy.

The closing date for submission on all of these documents is Friday 6 May 2016, 5pm.

Name: *

Organisation (if applicable):

Postal address: *

Email: *

Phone Number:

Submission: * ☐ I support the proposal ☒ I oppose the proposal ☐ I am neutral to the proposal

Type submission here:

Submissions will be heard in May 2016 (a date will be advertised).

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?: * ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, do you want to make a joint case with another party?: * ☐ Yes ☒ No

Do you require a language interpreter in order to present at the hearing?: * ☐ Yes ☒ No

Would you prefer to present via an audio or audio-visual link?: * ☐ Yes ☒ No
If yes, you will be contacted to discuss arrangements.

Submit

Grant Symons 6/5/16

KANIERE HOTEL
289 Kaniere Road, Hokitika 7811
Phone: (03) 755 8443
Your hosts: Grant Symons