



A G E N D A

**Planning and Development
Committee**

Council Chambers

**Thursday
8 April 2010
commencing at 9.30 am**

AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE, TO BE HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 36 WELD STREET, HOKITIKA ON THURSDAY 8 APRIL 2010 COMMENCING AT 9.30 AM.

1. MEMBERS PRESENT AND APOLOGIES

2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES – 4 February 2010.

(Pages 1 - 3)

3. MATTERS ARISING FROM MINUTES.

4. GENERAL BUSINESS

4.1 Review of Liquor ban Bylaw.

Memo from Manager: Planning and Regulatory

The Committee undertook a review of the liquor bans bylaw in April 2009. The Committee recommended to Council that the bylaw continue and that recommendation was adopted. The Committee was asked, however, by the council to undertake a further review in April 2010.

The Police and a representative of the Safer Community Council will be in attendance at 10.00 am to meet with members.

In addition, the Northern South Island Regional Manager for HANZ has provided written comments.

(Page 4)

A report outlining vandalism for the last calendar year is attached. For comparative purposes, a copy of the report for the previous year which was 12 months ago is also attached.

(Pages 5 - 7)

4.2 Review of Policy on Dogs

Memo from Manager: Planning and Regulatory

The Committee commenced its review at its August meeting. Members gave consideration to the existing policy document at the December meeting and adopted a proposed policy at the February meeting. The policy has been through the Special Consultative Procedure and submissions have been received. The submissions are attached and the submitters do not wish to be heard in support of the submission. The Committee may now consider the submissions and can adopt the proposed Policy on Dogs with or without amendment.

A copy of the amended draft Policy on Dogs is attached. (Pages 8 - 12)

Copies of the submissions received are attached. (Pages 13 - 22)

4.3 District Plan Review

Memo from Manager: Planning and Regulatory

A copy of the submissions that have been received as a result of the publication of the Issues and Options paper have been forwarded to members.

It is anticipated that the meeting will conclude after the completion of business on the agenda to consider the next steps that could be taken on the way to a review.

4. JUDICIAL REVIEW PROCEEDINGS

The general subject of the matters to be considered while the public are excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter and the specific grounds under Section 48(1)(a) and (d) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:

GENERAL SUBJECT OF THE MATTER TO BE CONSIDERED	REASON FOR PASSING THIS RESOLUTION IN RELATION TO THE MATTER	GROUND(S) UNDER SECTION 48(1) FOR THE PASSING OF THIS RESOLUTION
Judicial Review: B F and S J Godfrey and Westland District Council and A J and K M Pierce.	Provision for appeal to a Court.	48(2)(a)

6. NEXT MEETING

Next Meeting – Thursday 3 June 2010.

**MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
COMMITTEE HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 36 WELD STREET,
HOKITIKA ON THURSDAY 4 FEBRUARY 2010, COMMENCING AT
9.30 AM**

1. PRESENT

Her Worship the Mayor M.H. Pugh
Deputy Mayor Councillor B.O. Thomson (Chairman)
Councillors J.H. Butzbach A.M. Hurley

APOLOGIES

Councillors J.G. Birchfield and I.W. Hustwick

ALSO IN ATTENDANCE

R.C. Simpson, Manager: Planning and Regulatory.

2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES – 3 DECEMBER 2009

Moved Her Worship the Mayor, seconded Councillor Hurley and **Resolved** that the Minutes of the Planning and Development Committee Meeting held on the 3 December 2009 be confirmed as a true and correct record of the meeting.

3. MATTERS ARISING FROM MINUTES

3.1 Beach Street Carpark Development

The Manager Planning and Regulatory advised that all the property owners potentially affected by the possibility of onsite carparking being reduced are to be written to and given 21 days to advise if they had any concerns about a Resource Consent application being made under their name for onsite carparking reduction.

3.2 Discarded Materials – Wadeson Island

The Manager Planning and Regulatory advised that he had contacted the Department of Conservation with regard to discarded materials remaining on Wadeson Island after the end of the Whitebait Season. Where reasonable identification was able to be made, the stand owners would individually be contacted as time permitted.

4. GENERAL BUSINESS

4.1 Review of Policy on Dogs

The Committee considered an amended draft of the existing policy on dogs.

Moved Councillor Butzbach, seconded Councillor Thomson and **Resolved** that:

- (a) Clause 10 of the proposed policy be amended by inserting the words "Pursuant to Section 36A of the Dog Control Act 1996" after the word *transponder*.
- (b) That the proposed policy proceeds through the special consultative procedure forthwith.

4.2 Review of Policy on Dogs – the concept of a combined SPCA Shelter and Pound

Members considered correspondence from the SPCA together with a conceptual floor plan of a proposed combined SPCA facility and dog pound. Members also informally spoke with the representatives of the Hokitika Branch of the SPCA who were in attendance at the meeting to observe proceedings.

Moved Her Worship the Mayor, seconded Councillor Hurley and **Resolved** that the forthcoming Annual Plan provide for a strategic alliance with the SPCA in the nature of a contribution of a suitable parcel of land for the resiting of the existing animal shelter and Council pound and that funding be provided for the purposes of the SPCA providing a suitable dog pound.

4.3 Review of Liquor Ban Bylaw

Members noted that the Council had resolved that the Liquor Ban Bylaw be reviewed in April 2010.

Members asked for staff to arrange for a representative of the New Zealand Police, HANZ and the Community Patrol to attend the next meeting to express their views on the effectiveness of the Bylaw.

4.4 Recreation Contributions: Racecourse Terraces Limited - Subdivision Application

Members considered a plan provided by Racecourse Terraces Limited showing a proposed subdivision.

Racecourse Terraces Limited advised that the subdivision would proceed in three stages with Lot 44 (the allotment containing the walking track) vesting as a reserve at Stage 1. Racecourse Terraces asked that the actual financial contributions involving cash be held over to Stages 2 and 3.

Members noted that the extent of the contributions would be \$28,000 and agreed that Stages 2 and 3 would be an appropriate time to meet the cash payments to be imposed.

Moved Councillor Hurley, seconded Councillor Thomson and **Resolved** that Racecourse Terraces Limited be advised that no cash payment will be required for recreation contribution purposes at Stage 1.

5. NEXT MEETING

Next Meeting – Thursday 8 April 2010 at 9.30 am

MEETING CONCLUDED AT 11.18 AM

Confirmed:

Councillor Bryce Thomson
Chairman

____/____/____
Date

Richard Simpson

From: Jeanette.Swift@hanz.org.nz
Sent: 22 March 2010 14:03
To: Richard Simpson
Subject: Liquor ban feedback

Hi Richard,

I refer to our conversation on liquor bans in Hokitika.

Five on licence premises in town are HANZ members and we have a total of 31 establishments across Westland TLA. I recently visited town and spoke with the five owner/operators of the establishments.

- I showed them the proposed extension of the liquor ban which I obtained from the website. In general they were not concerned at the extension and seemed to understand why this had been done.
- However one establishment was not in favour of liquor bans at all but they were the exception.
- Two establishments thought 8pm was a good time to enforce the ban but noted that many tourists enjoyed a glass of wine on the beach quite harmlessly after this time.
- One establishment thought the liquor ban should be 24/7.
- Four establishments felt that the signage was not adequate and this should be improved upon. Reference was made to other towns which had the liquor ban signage painted on the footpaths.
- One establishment had recently had an incident when they called for local police support to enforce the ban. This was unavailable and 111 number was called as she could not get hold of local police.
-

I hope this feedback is of use - if I can be of further assistance please do not hesitate to contact me.

Kind regards,
Jeanette

*Jeanette Swift
Northern South Island Regional Manager
Hospitality Association of NZ
PO Box 3263
Richmond
Nelson
Ph: 0800 500 503
Mob: 0274 305 074
www.hanz.org.nz*

Liquor Reality: Although it is illegal for bars and pubs to let people get drunk, and it is closely watched by police, there were only 87 convictions in 2008, out of 15,000 bars and clubs.

Myth: Bars and Clubs are bad because people go there to get drunk

Vandalism record for Hokitika: December 2007 – December 2008

1. Friday 25 January. Report from Sue Pooley about vandals Damaging door in Women's toilet Hokitika Public Toilets
2. Monday 3 March 2008. Give way sign on corner of Park/Sewell pushed over
3. Sunday 23 March 2008. Cass Square Damage to doors and window
4. Monday 14 April 2008. Vandals damaged new chip seal on Park street. Police notified - Local resident provides details
5. Tuesday 22 April 2008. Vandals damage "jam Jar" lights in Heritage area. Electronet advised
6. Monday 28 Aril. Vandalism to Cass Square windows and door and Prossers Bush table and toilet pan.
7. Thursday 15 May 2008. Vandals reported by Electronet. Damage to Tambo lighting this time they have disconnected the lighting system
8. Friday 13 June. Cass Square damage to playground equipment.
9. Thursday 17 July 2008. New vegetation in front of HQ Building destroyed
10. Monday 25 August. Prossers Bush. Extensive damage to Toilets
11. Wednesday 17 September. Cass Square Graffiti removal
12. Wednesday 24 September. Beach Frontage. Graffiti on shelter
13. Thursday 25 September. Cass Square Graffiti
14. Monday 17 November. Local "no camping" and no drinking signs removed from beach frontage area
15. Monday 22 December 2008. Sunset Point. Surface damage to grassed area. "Wheelies"

Report of Vandalism - Hokitika 2009

Date	Description	Action
8-Jan	Prossers Bush, Damage to public Toilet	Police notified
	Advised by Police that sign damaged on	Person
13-Jan	Longford corner	apprehended by police
14-Jan	Sign damaged intersection. Car	Police notified
16-Jan	Wadestone Island, Damage to grassed area	Bruce Pearson
19-Jan	Bold head rd. Side of bridge destroyed.Truck?	Police notified - No suspects
		Offender spoken to and asked to stop
27-Jan	Removal of vegetation off green belt Hokitika Cass Square. Damage to gardens and toby	
9-Feb	damaged	Police notified
15-Feb	letterbox damaged	
19-Feb	Cass Square. Damage to water toby	Police notified
20-Feb	Cass Square. Broken glass. Damaged seat	Police notified
26-Feb	Franz - Theft of material from Black Sheep site	Paul Wilson on case
24-Mar	Sunset Point -Broken glass	Contractor action
	Kaniere Rd. Streetlights consistantly being	Police notified
9-Apr	smashed by someone throwing stones	they spoke to suspect
	Council HQ. Broken glass outside building.	
14-Apr	Telecom Booth	Police advised
1-May	Kumara Cemetery. Broke lock to access shed	Police advised
11-May	Juvanile Urinating in library box HQ Building	Apprehended.by
	Cass Square -Broken Glass and rubbish around	Police
25-May	skateboard park	
26-May	Kumara. Broken street signs	
29-May	Hokitika. Damaged street name blades	
1-Jul	Whataroa. Name blade taken off road	
	Town Clock Hokitika. TTMP equipment moved	
27-Jul	over night	
	Wadestone Island, Damage caused by MV.	
7-Aug	Burnt out car	
	Cass Square. Broken glass and rubbish around	
10-Aug	skateboard park	
31-Aug	Prossers Bush. Damage to toilets	
	Cass Square/ Revell St. Broken Glass and	
	rubbish on road surface and on footpaths and	
7-Sep	concrete areas	

9-Sep	Sunset Point. Damage to walway track - vehicle Park Street. A Cameron. Contractor. Advises vandals have been tampering with his machinery over night on his worksite on Park 23-Sep street	
24-Sep	No 7. Alpine view damage to frontage Hokitika Cemetery. Security report vehicle	Police advised
28-Sep	doing "Dough Nuts" in cemetery Carnegie Building. Flood light destroyed by 28-Sep vandals	Police advised
9-Nov	Cass Square. Broken glass around coasters stand	Police advised
18-Dec	Kumara. Damage to new playground equipment	westroads action remedial work

Note. Various street name blades damaged
over the year not recorded as these have been
replaced by contractors as part of the contract
agreement



John Bainbridge
22-Jan-10

PROPOSED AMENDED POLICY ON DOGS

1. COUNCIL'S APPROACH:

In undertaking dog registration and dog control functions, Council has historically sought to meet the minimum standards prescribed by the Dog Control Act 1996 (the Act). Any emphasis has been on dealing with dogs that have caused a nuisance or danger. Surveillance has been carried out from time to time as a direct result of complaints received and to ensure that appropriate remedial action has been taken. Surveillance and inspection has generally been carried out as a result of complaints and enquiries.

Dog welfare has not been a priority for the Council as the Act does not require the Council to undertake programmes or provide services that promote the welfare of dogs. This situation is changing as the Council sees an opportunity to enter in to a strategic alliance with the SPCA. Preliminary discussions have been encouraging and Council provides some limited funding to the SPCA to assist in its animal welfare work. Council views a changed emphasis on animal welfare as appropriate because an overall improvement in animal welfare knowledge and practice could lead to an improvement in dog control. In addition, it is likely that an alliance between the Council and the SPCA could provide a shared expertise that is of public benefit.

2. APPOINTMENT OF SPECIALIST STAFF:

Council will either appoint a Dog Control Officer to the full-time staff or enter into a contract for service delivery from an individual or organisation for the purposes of undertaking dog control, dog ranging duties and undertaking animal welfare functions.

3. DOG CONTROL GENERALLY:

Council will enforce standards of dog care and control through the provisions of the Act and a Bylaw. Options for enforcement include infringement notices (instant fines) and summary proceedings (Court action).

Council acknowledges that dog control is important across the whole of the District but public expectation is such that the majority of the dog control work is associated with Hokitika and Kaniere and to a more limited extent, Kumara and Ross.

Council also acknowledges that there is a public expectation that where dogs attack animals or people then early and decisive action will be taken. Sections 57-60 of the Act apply and there is sufficient discretion and adequate scope for action within the Act.

Council will ensure, as far as possible, that neglected or persistently barking dogs will be the subject of appropriate control intervention as variable circumstances warrant.

Owners must ensure that their dogs are under direct control of a person or are confined within premises in such a manner that they cannot freely leave the owners premises.

Owners are required to immediately remove their dog faeces from public places.

4. DOG CONTROL IN PUBLIC PLACES:

For the purposes of this part of this policy, dogs used for hunting or wilderness, avalanche or water search are "working dogs" pursuant to section 2 of the Act.

The Council will not allow any dog in a public place unless the dog is controlled on a leash or under continuous control to the satisfaction of a Dog Control Officer. The owner of a dog must use or carry a leash at all times while he or she is with the dog in a public place.

There are particular requirements relating to controlled dog areas within conservation land and national parks. Details of such land areas are available from the Department of Conservation, Private Bag 701 Hokitika.

In addition:

- (a) Council will prohibit dogs from the mown playing surfaces of any public sportsground.
- (b) Council will require all dogs to be controlled on a leash within the Hokitika business area, in the area zoned as *Commercial Core* in the District Plan and including all the land constituting foredune between Camp Street and Stafford Street.

5. IMPOUNDING:

The Council will have any dog found at large impounded in a suitably designed and maintained dog pound. Pound fees will be set to cover capture and pound operational costs and will be structured to discourage repeat offences.

Where impounded dogs are not claimed, the assistance of the SPCA is sought for the rehoming of suitable dogs. An annual financial contribution to The SPCA is made in recognition of this work.

Registered dogs impounded more than once, after 1 July 2006, will be microchipped before being released.

6. OWNERSHIP, DANGEROUS DOGS AND MENACING DOGS:

The Council will ensure that the provisions of the Act are met in respect of probationary owners, disqualification of owners, dangerous dogs and menacing dogs.

7. MENACING DOGS:

The Council may classify a dog as a menacing dog in accordance with the provisions of the Act. Written notice of the dog's classification must be given to the owner immediately. The dog owner has a right to object to the classification.

If a dog is classified as a menacing dog the owner of the dog must not allow the dog to be at large or in any public place or in any private way except when confined within a vehicle or cage, or being muzzled. The Council may require that the dog be neutered. The owner of a menacing dog must advise any other person in possession of the dog of the requirement to muzzle and leash the dog in public.

The Council must classify as menacing, any dog that the Council has reasonable grounds to believe belongs to the breed or type of dog listed in Schedule 4 of the Act.

8 DOGS ATTACKING PERSONS OR ANIMALS:

The Council recognises that dogs are territorial and that under the Act, dog owners must ensure their dog is kept under control at all times. From time to time dogs will exercise their territorial rights and in dealing with any complaints the Council will recognise the difference between an "attack" and a dog exercising its rights on private land.

9. DOG REGISTRATION SURVEILLANCE:

The Council accepts that regular contact with dog owners is an important way of promoting the proper care and control of dogs. It also enables the Council to assess existing standards and to check upon dog registration. The frequency of visits shall be reviewed periodically in light of staff availability and priorities.

The Council shall ensure that information required for the national dog control information database is maintained and provided for in accordance of the provisions of the Act.

10. MICROCHIPPING:

Any dog that is registered for the first time on or after 1 July 2006 must be implanted with a microchip transponder pursuant to the provisions of section 36A of the Act. Any dog that is classified as dangerous or menacing on or after 1 December 2003 must be implanted with a microchip transponder. Any dog that has been impounded more than once, after 1 July 2006, must be implanted with a microchip transponder before their release.

11. FUNDING:

All dog control activities will be funded through dog registration fees, infringement fees and impounding fees. Infringement fees are set by the Act and dog registration and impounding fees will be established through public consultative procedures each year as part of the Annual Plan process. In the recognition that there is a wider public good relating to effective dog control, the Council may from time to time partly fund dog control from the general rates. Council may adopt a graduated scale of registration fees.

Microchipping of dogs that have been impounded more than once will be undertaken by the Council. Such dogs will not be released until the cost of microchipping has been recovered.

12. INFRINGEMENTS:

Under the Act there are a series of offences for which the Council's Dog Control Officer can issue infringement notices. The following table details the infringement offences and fees.

Infringement Offences and Fees

Section	Description of Offence	Infringement Fee
18	Wilful obstruction of dog control officer or dog ranger	\$1000
19(2)	Failure or refusal to supply information or wilfully stating false particulars	\$1000
20(5)	Failure to comply with any bylaw authorised by section 20	\$500
28(5)	Failure to comply with effects of disqualification	\$1000
32(4)	Fraudulent sale or transfer of dangerous dog	\$1000
36A	Failure to implant microchip transponder in dog	\$500
41	False statement relating to registration	\$1000
42	Failure to register dog	\$300
46(4)	Fraudulent attempt to procure replacement label or disc	\$1000

48(3)	Failure to advise change of ownership	\$100
49(4)	Failure to advise change of address	\$100
51(1)	Removal or swapping of labels or discs	\$1000
52A	Failure to keep dog controlled or confined	\$300
53(1)	Failure to keep dog under control	\$300
52A	Failure to use or carry leash in public place	\$100
62(1)	Allowing dogs known to be dangerous to be at large unmuzzled	\$1000

13. ENFORCEMENT:

Where the Council's Dog Control Officer has reasonable cause to believe a person has committed an infringement offence under the Act a written warning may be issued by the Council's Dog Control Officer at their discretion, thereafter any further offences will result in the issuing of an infringement notice in accordance with the provisions of the Act.

14. BYLAWS:

The Council will continue to have a Dog Control Bylaw covering the control of dogs generally, impounding, prohibited places, the requirements of this policy and restricting the number of dogs on properties outside of the rural areas.

15. ANNUAL REPORT ON DOG CONTROL POLICY AND DOG CONTROL PRACTISES:

Under section 10A of the Act, the Council must report on the administration of its policy on dogs and its dog control practises. The report must include information relating to—

- the number of registered dogs in the district;
- the number of probationary owners and disqualified owners in the district;
- the number of dogs classified as dangerous or menacing in the district;
- the number of infringement notices issued by the Council;
- the number of dog related complaints received by the Council;
- the number of prosecutions taken by the Council under the Act.

Public notice of the report must be given and a copy of the report must be sent to the Secretary for Local Government.

RECEIVED
15 MAR 2010
BY:



**SUBMISSION ON THE WESTLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL
PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE POLICY ON DOGS**

SUBMISSION

The closing date for submissions is 4.30 p.m. on Monday 15 March, 2010

CEO	HWM	MPR	MO	MAC	MF	MPA	CSO	ENG

To:
The Westland District Council,
Private Bag 704,
36 Weld Street,
HOKITIKA.

Name: June Holdcroft

Organisation: _____

Address for Service: 60 Park St. Hokitika

Phone Number: 755 5325 Fax Number: _____

HOW TO USE THIS FORM

1. **This is a submission form.**
Please use one for each submission. Extra forms are available from the Council Office.
2. **Identify the specific provision/s covered in your submission.**
3. **Indicate your attitude to the provision.**
I support/oppose the provision.
Delete either 'support' or 'oppose' to indicate your attitude to the provision.
4. **Make your submission.**
My submission is:-
State clearly and in summary the nature of your submission. Give reasons.
5. **State any amendments you wish to have made.**
Amendments I wish to have made:-
In summary state any amendments you wish to have made.
6. **State the decision you wish to have made.**
I seek the following decision from the Council:-
Clearly state your main decision preference.
You may also wish to include alternate or lesser preferences.
7. **State whether or not you wish to be heard by the Council.**
Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?

Submission for the Proposed Amendments to the Policy on Dogs

SUBMISSION

The specific sections of the Proposed Policy on Dogs that my submission relates to are:-

(2) APPOINTMENT OF SPECIALIST STAFF

I support / oppose the Proposed Policy on Dogs :- THE SYSTEM USED NOW.

My submission is:- COUNCIL EMPLOY AN OFFICER (DOG CONTROL) WHO WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE TO MANAGEMENT, EITHER FULL OR PART TIME THEREBY PROVIDING A MORE EFFICIENT AND ACCEPTABLE WAY OF DEALING WITH DOG CONTROL. ALSO FAR MORE PRACTICAL THAN THE PRESENT SYSTEM.

Amendments I wish to have made:- THE COUNCIL APPOINTED DOG CONTROL OFFICER WOULD PROVIDE AN EFFECTIVE SERVICE AS THEY WILL BE DIRECTLY RESPONSIBLE TO COUNCIL.

I seek the following decision from the Council:- AS STATED.

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO
---	-----------------------------

RECORDED
15 MAR 2010
BY:



**SUBMISSION ON THE WESTLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL
PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE POLICY ON DOGS**

SUBMISSION

The closing date for submissions is 4.30 p.m. on Monday 15 March, 2010

To:
The Westland District Council,
Private Bag 704,
36 Weld Street,
HOKITIKA.

CEO	HWM	MPR	MO	MAC	MS	MPA	CSO	ENG

Name: JANE HOLLOWCROFT

Organisation: _____

Address for Service: 66 PARK STREET
HOKITIKA.

Phone Number: 755 8828 Fax Number: _____

HOW TO USE THIS FORM

- This is a submission form.**
Please use one for each submission. Extra forms are available from the Council Office.
- Identify the specific provision/s covered in your submission.**
- Indicate your attitude to the provision.**
I support/oppose the provision.
Delete either 'support' or 'oppose' to indicate your attitude to the provision.
- Make your submission.**
My submission is:-
State clearly and in summary the nature of your submission. Give reasons.
- State any amendments you wish to have made.**
Amendments I wish to have made:-
In summary state any amendments you wish to have made.
- State the decision you wish to have made.**
I seek the following decision from the Council:-
Clearly state your main decision preference.
You may also wish to include alternate or lesser preferences.
- State whether or not you wish to be heard by the Council.**
Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?

Submission for the Proposed Amendments to the Policy on Dogs

SUBMISSION

The specific sections of the Proposed Policy on Dogs that my submission relates to are:-

11. FUNDING

I support / ~~oppose~~ the Proposed Policy on Dogs :-

My submission is:- IF COUNCIL RE-INTRODUCES THE SELECTED OWNER STATUS THE POLICY NEEDS "TWEAKING" AND HAVE MORE REALISTIC RULES. A GRADUATED SCALE OF FEES IS A GREAT START, AND RE-WRITING THE CRITERIA REGARDING FENCING, ETC.

Amendments I wish to have made:- A CONTROLLED AND FENCED DOG PRESENTS NO EXPENDITURE OR PROBLEMS TO COUNCIL OR STAFF.

I seek the following decision from the Council:- AS STATED.

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO
---	-----------------------------

RECEIVED
15 MAR 2010
BY:



**SUBMISSION ON THE WESTLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL
PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE POLICY ON DOGS**

SUBMISSION

The closing date for submissions is 4.30 p.m on Monday 15 March, 2010

To:
The Westland District Council,
Private Bag 704,
36 Weld Street,
HOKITIKA.

CEO	HWM	MPR	MO	MAC	MF	MPA	CSO	ENG

Name:

Margaret Gale

Organisation:

Address for Service:

12 Spencer Street
Hokitika

Phone Number:

7555484

Fax Number:

HOW TO USE THIS FORM

- This is a submission form.**
Please use one for each submission. Extra forms are available from the Council Office.
- Identify the specific provision/s covered in your submission.**
- Indicate your attitude to the provision.**
I support/oppose the provision.
Delete either 'support' or 'oppose' to indicate your attitude to the provision.
- Make your submission.**
My submission is:-
State clearly and in summary the nature of your submission. Give reasons.
- State any amendments you wish to have made.**
Amendments I wish to have made:-
In summary state any amendments you wish to have made.
- State the decision you wish to have made.**
I seek the following decision from the Council:-
Clearly state your main decision preference.
You may also wish to include alternate or lesser preferences.
- State whether or not you wish to be heard by the Council.**
Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?

Submission for the Proposed Amendments to the Policy on Dogs

SUBMISSION

The specific sections of the Proposed Policy on Dogs that my submission relates to are:-

2. Appointment of Specialist Staff

I ~~support~~ / oppose the Proposed Policy on Dogs :- The present Contract system

My submission is:- That Council appoint a Dog Control Officer to the full or part-time staff, thus ensuring that said person is directly responsible to Council Management in regard to hours worked and duties performed.

Amendments I wish to have made:- No contract, I believe that Council Supervision will result in a much more effective service

I seek the following decision from the Council:- As above

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO
---	-----------------------------

RECEIVED
15 MAR 2010
BY:

HWL 43



**SUBMISSION ON THE WESTLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL
PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE POLICY ON DOGS**

SUBMISSION

The closing date for submissions is 4.30 p.m. on Monday 15 March, 2010

To:
The Westland District Council,
Private Bag 704,
36 Weld Street,
HOKITIKA.

CEO	HWM	MPR	MO	MAG	MC	MPA	CCO	ENG

Name: Margaret Gale

Organisation: _____

Address for Service: 12 Spencer Street, Hokitika

Phone Number: 7555484 Fax Number: _____

HOW TO USE THIS FORM

1. **This is a submission form.**
Please use one for each submission. Extra forms are available from the Council Office.
2. **Identify the specific provision/s covered in your submission.**
3. **Indicate your attitude to the provision.**
I support/oppose the provision.
Delete either 'support' or 'oppose' to indicate your attitude to the provision.
4. **Make your submission.**
My submission is:-
State clearly and in summary the nature of your submission. Give reasons.
5. **State any amendments you wish to have made.**
Amendments I wish to have made:-
In summary state any amendments you wish to have made.
6. **State the decision you wish to have made.**
I seek the following decision from the Council:-
Clearly state your main decision preference.
You may also wish to include alternate or lesser preferences.
7. **State whether or not you wish to be heard by the Council.**
Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?

Submission for the Proposed Amendments to the Policy on Dogs

SUBMISSION

The specific sections of the Proposed Policy on Dogs that my submission relates to are:-

11. Funding

I support / ~~oppose~~ the Proposed Policy on Dogs :- That Council may adopt a graduated scale of registration fees

My submission is:-

That Council reinstate a Responsible Dog Owner Status, but in a simpler form. A responsible owner need only meet 2 criteria. (1) that the dog has no previous antisocial or illegal behavioural history. (2) that the dog is securely contained at all times i.e. if at home, the property is fully fenced and secure, and, if out in public, the dog is on a leash, fully controlled and plastic bags carried in the event of defecation.

Amendments I wish to have made:- I would like Council to accept the above recommendation, as fully controlled dogs cause no extra council expenditure, and a competent Dog Control Officer, directly responsible to Council, should be able to ensure that these conditions are met.

I seek the following decision from the Council:- As above,

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?

YES	NO
----------------	----

RECEIVED
10 MAR 2010
BY:



WESTLAND
DISTRICT COUNCIL

**SUBMISSION ON THE WESTLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL
PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE POLICY ON DOGS**

SUBMISSION

The closing date for submissions is 4.30 p.m. on Monday 15 March, 2010

To:
The Westland District Council,
Private Bag 704,
36 Weld Street,
HOKITIKA.

CEO	HWM	MPB	MO	MAC	MF	MPA	CSO	ENG

Name: MONICA + Tom FOSTER

Organisation: Retired Pet Owners

Address for Service: 155 Fitzherbert Street
HOKITIKA 7510.

Phone Number: 03-755-7156 Fax Number: _____

HOW TO USE THIS FORM

1. **This is a submission form.**
Please use one for each submission. Extra forms are available from the Council Office.
2. **Identify the specific provision/s covered in your submission.**
3. **Indicate your attitude to the provision.**
I support/oppose the provision.
Delete either 'support' or 'oppose' to indicate your attitude to the provision.
4. **Make your submission.**
My submission is:-
State clearly and in summary the nature of your submission. Give reasons.
5. **State any amendments you wish to have made.**
Amendments I wish to have made:-
In summary state any amendments you wish to have made.
6. **State the decision you wish to have made.**
I seek the following decision from the Council:-
Clearly state your main decision preference.
You may also wish to include alternate or lesser preferences.
7. **State whether or not you wish to be heard by the Council.**
Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?

Submission for the Proposed Amendments to the Policy on Dogs

SUBMISSION

The specific sections of the Proposed Policy on Dogs that my submission relates to are:-

(1) Dog Registration.
3 Tier Price Large Medium & Small Bred.
20% off fee when neutered or spayed.

I support / oppose the Proposed Policy on Dogs :- Not entirely, I would like to see a plan.

My submission is:-

(4) Council to provide areas for dog exercise like other towns! Plus scooper & plastic bags.

Amendments I wish to have made:- We get a visit from Council once a year to make sure every dog is registered.

What did we pay £72 for, No visit No Service.

I seek the following decision from the Council:- To bring the fee down and more checks on dog owners who won't or don't register.

How many owners didn't pay fee last year 2015?

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO
---	-----------------------------